arXiv:1610.06348v1 [math.FA] 20 Oct 2016

HÖRMANDER CONDITION FOR FOURIER MULTIPLIERS ON COMPACT LIE GROUPS

VÉRONIQUE FISCHER

Abstract. In this paper, we show L^p-multiplier theorems on any compact Lie group G. The multiplier operators are given via the group Fourier transforms and the symbols are fields of matrices on the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$ of G. We develop structures on $\widehat{G}$, such as homogeneous Sobolev spaces. These allow us to define a condition of Hörmander type which we show implies the L^p-boundedness of the corresponding operators and is sharp. We also obtain multiplier theorems of Mihlin and Marcinkiewicz types as a consequence.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Preliminaries 3
2.1. Notation and convention 3
2.2. Representations 5
2.3. Fourier multipliers 6
2.4. The Laplace-Beltrami operator 9
3. Difference operators 11
3.1. Fundamental difference operators 11
3.2. The Ruzhansky-Turunen difference operators Δ_q 13
3.3. The function q_2 13
3.4. Annihilator of the fundamental difference operators 15
3.5. Annihilator of any two fundamental difference operators 16
3.6. Annihilator of several fundamental difference operators 18
4. Homogeneous Sobolev spaces on $\widehat{G}$ 20
4.1. Definition and first properties 20
4.2. Function of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ in $\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ 22
4.3. The spaces $\dot{L}^\infty_s(\widehat{G})$ 25
4.4. Weak Leibniz estimates 27
4.5. Proof of Lemma 4.12 29
5. Multiplier theorems 30
5.1. Multiplier theorems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ 31
5.2. The space Σ_s 32

Date: October 2016.

5.3. Multiplier theorems on G 36
5.4. Proof of Theorem 5.7 37
5.5. A Marcinkiewicz type condition 40
References 42

1. Introduction

In 1939, J. Marcinkiewicz proved the first L^p-multiplier theorem [11] which can be stated in the following form:

Theorem 1.1. *[Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem (1939)] If $\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a sequence such that the suprema*

$$\sup_{\ell} |\sigma(\ell)| < \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{2^j \leq \ell^2 \leq 2^{j+1}} |\sigma(\ell) - \sigma(\ell+1)|$$

are finite, then the corresponding Fourier multiplier is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{T})$, $1 < p < \infty$.

The next known result on Fourier multipliers is due to S.G. Mihlin [13] on Euclidean Fourier integrals in 1956. In 1960, L. Hörmander [8] gave a further generalisation and simplification of Mihlin's results. E. Stein presented these results and related problems in the context of the Calderón-Zygmund theory in his textbook on singular integrals [19].

Since Marcinkiewicz' first result, Fourier multipliers have been extensively studied in various contexts. The question has been explored in completely abstract functional settings, see the 1971 textbook by Larsen [10]. In a different direction, spectral multipliers of operators such as Laplace-Beltrami on Riemanian manifolds or sub-Laplacians on Lie groups have taken centre stage in the study of the problem 'in the Hörmander sense': see for instance [1, 12, 17, 18, 20] to cite only the results or methods mentioned in this paper.

In this article, we study the Fourier L^p-multiplier problem in the context of compact Lie groups with conditions analogue to Marcinkiewicz, Mihlin and Hörmander's. Note that a multiplier symbol σ is now a field of matrices parametrised by the dual $\widehat{G}$ of the group G; therefore any two multiplier symbols (and a fortiori operators) may not necessarily commute. The first study in this context goes back to 1971 with Coifman and Weiss' monograph [2] where they developed the Calderón-Zygmund theory in the setting of spaces of homogeneous types and as an application studied the Fourier multipliers of $SU(2)$, see also [3, 4]. Until the recent investigations of Ruzhansky and Wirth [16], the research into Fourier multipliers on compact Lie groups had been focused on the central mutlipliers [25, 22, 23, 21]. To the author's knowledge, the rest of the literature on the Fourier L^p-multiplier problem on Lie groups 'in the Hörmander sense' is restricted to the motion group (Rubin in 1976 [14]), to the Heisenberg group stemming from the work of de Michele and Mauceri in 1979 [5], and to the graded nilpotent Lie groups with the recent work of the author with Ruzhansky [7].

Our multiplier theorems will be given in Sections 5.3 and 5.5. More precisely we show a result 'of Hörmander type' and from it, we deduce consequences of Mihlin and of Marcinkiewicz types. The proof is classical and relies on the Calderón-Zygmund theory adapted to the setting of spaces of homogeneous type as in [2]. As in [2, 5, 7, 16], our approach uses difference operators to define the conditions on the symbols. The novelty of the paper is to propose intrinsic structures on the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$ of the group. In particular, we define homogeneous Sobolev spaces $\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ of symbols. In fact on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the Hörmander condition can be reformulated easily with homogeneous Sobolev spaces, see Section 5.1. In this article we show that in this form, the Hörmander condition makes sense on $\widehat{G}$ and that it is indeed a hypothesis for an L^p-multiplier theorem, see Sections 5.2 and 5.3 respectively. Furthermore this hypothesis is sharp in the exponent s of the Sobolev space, the critical value being half the topological dimension of the group. The sharpness is obtained by studying spectral multipliers in the Laplace-Beltrami operator in Section 4.2.

Although the paper is restricted to the case of compact Lie groups and Fourier symbols, the ideas developed here can be of help in the following problems: Marcinkiewicz conditions for product of groups (to obtain generalisation of Marcinkiewicz' [11] L^p-multiplier result for double trigonometric series - still an open problem as formulated in [20, p.63]), case of homogeneous domains such as the real and complex spheres, applications to the study of sub-laplacians, $L^p - L^q$-boundedness of Fourier symbols under a Lizorkin-type condition, estimates of the $L^p - L^q$-bounds of particular operators such as spectral projectors etc...

The paper is organised as follows. After the necessary preliminaries in Section 2, we recall the notions of difference operators in Section 3 with an emphasis on the symbols annihilated by the fundamental difference operators. In Section 4, the homogeneous Sobolev spaces on $\widehat{G}$ are defined and studied. In Section 5, we state and prove our multiplier theorems.

Notation: $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ denotes the set of non-negative integers and $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ the set of positive integers. If $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ are two Hilbert spaces, we denote by $\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ the Banach space of the bounded operators from $\mathcal{H}_1$ to $\mathcal{H}_2$. If $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}$ then we write $\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) = \mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H})$. We may allow ourselves to write $A \asymp B$ when the quantity A and B are equivalent in the sense that there exists a constant such that $C^{-1}A \leq B \leq CA$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we set the notation for the group and some of its natural structures, such as the convolution, its representations, the Plancherel formula, and the Laplace-Beltrami operator. References for this classical material may include [20] and [9].

2.1. **Notation and convention.** In this paper, G always denotes a connected compact Lie group and n is its dimension. Its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is the tangent space of G at the neutral element e_G. It is always possible to define a left-invariant Riemannian distance on G, denoted by

$d(\cdot,\cdot)$. We also denote by $|x| = d(x, e_G)$ the Riemannian distance on the Riemann between x and the neutral element e_G and by $B(r) := \{|x| < r\}$ the ball about e_G of radius $r > 0$.

We may identify the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with the space of left-invariant vector fields. More precisely, if $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, then we denote by X and $\tilde{X}$ the (respectively) left and right invariant vector fields given by:

$$X\phi(x) = \partial_{t=0}\phi(x\exp_G(tX)), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{X}\phi(x) = \partial_{t=0}\phi(\exp_G(tX)x),$$

respectively, for $x \in G$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. In this paper, $\mathcal{D}(G)$ denotes the Fréchet space of smooth functions on G. One easily checks

$$X\{\phi(\cdot^{-1})\}(x) = -\left(\tilde{X}\phi\right)(x^{-1}). \tag{2.1}$$

The complexification of the real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is the complex Lie algebra denoted by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. We denote by $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ its universal enveloping algebras. It may be viewed as the complex vector algebra of left-invariant different operators. The following elements

$$X^\alpha := X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n,$$

(with the usual convention $X^0 = \mathrm{I}$) form a basis. For $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we also denote by $\mathfrak{U}_d(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ the subspace of $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ of elements of degree $\leq d$, that is, the vector space of the complex linear combination of X^α, $|\alpha| \leq d$.

The Haar measure is normalised to be a probability measure. It is denoted by dx for integration and the Haar measure of a set E is denoted by $|E|$. We denote by $V(r)$ the volume of the ball $B(r)$. It may be estimated via

$$V(r) := |B(r)| \sim \begin{cases} r^n & \text{if } r \in (0, \epsilon_0), \\ 1 & \text{if } \epsilon_0 < r \leq R_0, \end{cases}$$

where R_0 denotes the maximum radius of the ball around the neutral element, i.e. $B(R_0) = G$, and $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ denotes the radius of a ball $B(\epsilon_0)$ which gives a chart around the neutral element for the exponential mapping $\exp_G : \mathfrak{g} \to G$.

If f and g are two integrable functions, i.e. in $L^1(G)$, we define their (non-commutative) convolution $f * g \in L^1(G)$ via

$$f * g(x) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x)dy.$$

Young's convolution inequalities holds. The convolution may be generalised to two distributions $f, g \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$.

For $p \in [1, \infty)$, we denote by $L^p(G)$ the usual Banach space of measurable function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $|f|^p$ is integrable. If $\omega : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a non-negative measurable function, we denote by $L^p(\omega(x)dx)$ the Banach space of measurable function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\omega(x)|f(x)|^p$ is integrable.

If $\kappa \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$, we denote by $T_\kappa : \mathcal{D}(G) \to \mathcal{D}(G)$ given via

$$T_\kappa(\phi) = \phi * \kappa,$$

the associated convolution operator. More generally, in this paper, we will allow ourselves to keep the same notation for a (linear) operator $T : \mathcal{D}(G) \to \mathcal{D}'(G)$ and any of its possible extension as a bounded operator on the Sobolev spaces of G since such an extension, when it exists, is unique.

2.2. **Representations.** In this paper, a representation of G is any continuous group homomorphism π from G to the set of automorphisms of a finite dimensional complex space. The continuity implies smoothness. We will denote this space $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ or identify it with $\mathbb{C}^{d_\pi}$, where $d_\pi = \dim \mathcal{H}_\pi$, after the choice of a basis. We see $\pi(g)$ as a linear endomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ or as a $d_\pi \times d_\pi$-matrix. It is said to be *irreducible* if the only sub-spaces invariant under G are trivial. If $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ is equipped with an inner product (often denoted $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathcal{H}_\pi}$), then the representation π is *unitary* if $\pi(g)$ is unitary for any $g \in G$. For any representation π, one can always find an inner product on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ such that π is unitary. If π is a representation of the group G, then we keep the same notation π for the corresponding infinitesimal representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined via

$$\pi(X) = \partial_{t=0}\pi(\exp_G(X)).$$

We also keep the same notation for the corresponding representation of the universal enveloping Lie algebra $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$.

If π is a representation of G, then its *coefficients* are any function of the form $x \mapsto (\pi(x)u, v)_{\mathcal{H}_\pi}$. These are smooth functions on G and we denote by $L^2_\pi(G)$ the complex finite dimensional space of coefficients of π. If a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{d\pi}\}$ of $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ is fixed, then the *matrix coefficients* of π are the coefficients $\pi_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d_\pi$ given by $\pi_{i,j}(x) = (\pi(x)e_i, e_j)_{\mathcal{H}_\pi}$. If $f \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$ is a distribution and π is a unitary representation, we can always define its *group Fourier transform* at π denoted by

$$\pi(f) \equiv \widehat{f}(\pi) \equiv \mathcal{F}_G f(\pi) \in \mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$$

via

$$\pi(f) = \int_G f(x)\pi(x)^* dx, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad (\pi(f)u, v)_{\mathcal{H}_\pi} = \int_G f(x)(u, \pi(x)v)_{\mathcal{H}_\pi} dx,$$

since the coefficient functions are smooth.

If f is integrable and π unitary, we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}_G\kappa(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \leq \|\kappa\|_{L^1(G)}. \tag{2.2}$$

One checks easily that the group Fourier transform maps the convolution of two distributions $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$ to the matrix product or composition of their group Fourier transforms:

$$\mathcal{F}_G(f_1 * f_2) = \widehat{f_2}\ \widehat{f_1}.$$

Two representations π_1 and π_2 of G are *equivalent* when there exists a map $U : \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1} \to \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2}$ intertwining the representations, that is, such that $\pi_2 U = U\pi_1$. In this case, one checks easily that $L^2_{\pi_1}(G) = L^2_{\pi_2}(G)$. If π_1 and π_2 are unitary, U is also assumed to be unitary. The dual of the group G, denoted by $\widehat{G}$, is the set of unitary irreducible representations of G modulo

unitary equivalence. We also consider the set $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ of the equivalence class of unitary representations modulo unitary equivalence.

Remark 2.1 (Convention). We will often identify a representation of G and its class in $\widehat{G}$ or $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$. In particular, we consider the Fourier transform of a function to be defined on $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ and by restriction on $\widehat{G}$.

If S is a linear mapping on the representation space of a unitary representation π_0, then we can consider the set $\dot{S}$ of linear mappings USU^{-1} over $\mathcal{H}_{\pi_1}$ where π_1 runs over all the representation equivalent to $\pi_0 = U\pi_1 U^{-1}$ via the intertwining operator U. We will often identify S with the set $\dot{S}$ which will be then referred as a linear mapping on $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ where $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ is the equivalence class of π_0.

Theorem 2.2 (Peter-Weyl Theorem)**.** *The dual $\widehat{G}$ is discrete. The Hilbert space $L^2(G)$ decomposes as the Hilbert direct sum $\oplus_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} L^2_\pi(G)$. Moreover, if for each $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, one fixes a realisation as a representation with an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_\pi$, then the functions $\sqrt{d_\pi}\pi_{i,j}$, $1 \le i, j \le d_\pi$, $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, form an orthonormal basis of G.*

The Peter-Weyl theorem yields the Plancherel formula:

$$\int_G |f(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \|\pi(f)\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\pi)}, \quad f \in L^2(G), \tag{2.3}$$

and the Fourier inversion formula

$$f(x) = \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \mathrm{Tr}\left(\pi(x)\pi(f)\right), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(G),\ x \in G. \tag{2.4}$$

We denote by

$$L^2_f(G) := \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} L^2_\pi(G), \tag{2.5}$$

the vector space formed of finite linear sum of vectors in some $L^2_\pi(G)$, $\pi \in \widehat{G}$. As each $L^2_\pi(G)$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{D}(G)$, $L^2_f(G) \subset \mathcal{D}(G)$. The Peter-Weyl Theorem can be stated equivalently as follows: $L^2_f(G)$ is dense in $L^2(G)$ and

$$d_\pi \widehat{\pi}(\pi') = \delta_{\pi=\pi'} \mathrm{I}_{\pi'}, \tag{2.6}$$

for any two representations $\pi, \pi' \in \widehat{G}$. Here $\mathrm{I}_{\pi'}$ is the identity operator on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi'}$ and (2.6) means that, when π is realised as a matrix representation, we have $d_\pi \widehat{\pi}_{i,j}(\pi') = 0$ for any i, j satisfying $1 \le i \ne j \le d_\pi$, and $d_\pi \widehat{\pi}_{i,1}(\pi') = 1$ for any i satisfying $1 \le i \le d_\pi$.

2.3. **Fourier multipliers.** Recall that on the torus, a Fourier multiplier corresponding to the symbol $\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ is the operator $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ given via

$$\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)\phi(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\ell\theta}\sigma(\ell)\widehat{\phi}(\ell), \tag{2.7}$$

where the function ϕ admits the Fourier expansion $\phi(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\ell\theta}\widehat{\phi}(\ell)$. Clearly $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ is a linear operator well defined on the space of functions that have only a finite number of non-zero Fourier coefficients for instance. Furthermore the Plancherel formula implies that the corresponding multiplier operator admits a unique extension as a linear operator bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ if and only if the symbol is a bounded sequence.

All this is easily generalised to the case of any compact Lie group in the following way.

Definition 2.3. A *multiplier symbol* or a symbol is a collection $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ where for each $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, $\sigma(\pi)$ is a linear map over $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ (see Remark 2.1).

Using a different vocabulary, a multiplier symbol may be defined as a field of operators over $\oplus_{\pi\in\widehat{G}}\mathcal{H}_\pi$ modulo unitary equivalence.

The space of multiplier symbols is denoted by

$$\Sigma = \Sigma(G) = \{\sigma \text{ multiplier symbol}\}.$$

One checks easily that $\Sigma(G)$ is an algebra for the product of linear mappings.

Since any representation $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ may be written as a finite direct sum $\pi = \oplus_j \tau_j$ of $\tau_j \in \widehat{G}$, any multiplier symbol may be naturally extended over $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ via $\sigma(\pi) := \oplus_j \sigma(\tau_j)$. We will often identify an invariant symbol with its natural extension as a collection over $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$:

$$\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\} \ \sim \ \sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)\}. \tag{2.8}$$

See also (2.12).

Example 2.4. The group Fourier transform of a distribution is a symbol:

$$\widehat{f} = \{\pi(f), \pi \in \widehat{G}\} \in \Sigma, \qquad f \in \mathcal{D}'(G).$$

As already noticed, $\widehat{f}$ may equally be viewed as a collection over $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$.

Definition 2.5. We denote by $L^2(\widehat{G})$ the space of symbols σ such that

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})} := \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \|\sigma(\pi)\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\pi)}$$

is finite.

The Peter-Weyl theorem (Theorem 2.2) implies that the Hilbert space $L^2(\widehat{G})$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^2(G)$ via the Fourier transform.

Definition 2.6. We denote by $L^2_f(\widehat{G})$ the space of symbols σ such that $\sigma(\pi) = 0$ for all $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ but a finite number.

Naturally $L^2_f(\widehat{G})$ is the subspace of $L^2(\widehat{G})$ isometric to $L^2_f(G)$ via the Fourier transform, see (2.5), and $L^2_f(\widehat{G})$ is a dense subspace of $L^2(\widehat{G})$.

Definition 2.7. The *Fourier multiplier operator* with symbol σ is the operator $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ defined on $L^2_f(G)$ via

$$\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)\phi(x) = \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \mathrm{Tr}\left(\pi(x)\sigma(\pi)\widehat{\phi}(\pi)\right), \quad \phi \in L^2_f(G),\ x \in G.$$

By definition of $L^2_f(G)$, the summation above is finite and this defines a linear operator $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma) : L^2_f(G) \to L^2_f(G)$.

Definition 2.8. We denote by $L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ the space of symbols σ such that

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} := \sup_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} \|\sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} = \sup_{\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)} \|\sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)},$$

is finite.

Naturally $L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ is a Banach space. The Peter-Weyl theorem implies that it is isometric to the Banach space of operators which are left-invariant and bounded on $L^2(G)$. Indeed, if $\sigma \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$, then the corresponding Fourier mulitplier $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ is bounded on $L^2(G)$ with operator norm

$$\|\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)\|_{\mathscr{L}(L^2(G))} = \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} \tag{2.9}$$

The converse holds easily: if $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ is bounded on $L^2(G)$ then $\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}$ is finite.

We recall that 'any reasonable' convolution operator may be viewed as a Fourier multiplier:

Example 2.9. If $\kappa \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$, then $\mathrm{Op}(\widehat{\kappa})$ extends to the group Fourier multiplier $T_\kappa : \mathcal{D}(G) \to \mathcal{D}'(G)$ associated with κ, that is,

$$\widehat{T_\kappa\phi} = \widehat{\kappa}\widehat{\phi}, \qquad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(G).$$

Equivalently, T_κ is the convolution operator $T_\kappa : \phi \mapsto \phi * \kappa$.

Let us give more concrete and useful examples: the identity operator and more generally left-invariant differential operators

Example 2.10. The identity operator on $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is a Fourier multiplier with kernel δ_{e_G} and symbol $\widehat{\delta}_{e_G} = \mathrm{I}$. More generally any left-invariant differential operator is a Fourier multiplier since, for any $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, the operator X^β is a Fourier multiplier with kernel $(X^\beta)^t\delta_{e_G}$ and symbol

$$\mathcal{F}_G(X)^\beta := \{\pi(X)^\beta, \pi \in \widehat{G}\}.$$

Here $(X^\beta)^t$ denotes the transpose of X^β: $(X^\beta)^t = (-1)^{|\beta|}X_n^{\beta_n}\dots X_1^{\beta_1}$.

If an operator $T \in \mathscr{L}(L^2(G))$ is invariant under left-translation, that is, $T(f(x_0\cdot))(x) = (Tf)(x_0x)$, $x, x_0 \in G$, $f \in L^2(G)$, then the Schwartz kernel theorem implies that it is a right

convolution operator in the sense that there exists $\kappa \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$ such that $T = T_\kappa : \phi \mapsto \phi * \kappa$ on $\mathcal{D}(G)$. Equation (2.9) yields

$$\|T_\kappa\|_{\mathscr{L}(L^2(G))} = \sup_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} \|\mathcal{F}_G\kappa(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)}. \tag{2.10}$$

If T is a linear operator defined on $L^2_f(G)$ (and with image some complex-valued functions of $x \in G$) and if T is invariant under left-translations, then one recovers the symbol via

$$\sigma(\pi) = \pi(x)^*(T\pi)(x), \quad \text{that is,} \quad [\sigma(x,\pi)]_{i,j} = \sum_k \overline{\pi_{ki}(x)}(T\pi_{kj})(x), \tag{2.11}$$

for any $x \in G$, for instance $x = e_G$; here one has fixed a matrix realisation of π but (2.11) is in fact independent of this realisation. This can be easily checked using (2.6). This shows that the quantisation Op defined above is injective. Moreover (2.11) makes sense for any $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ and one checks easily that this coincides with the natural extension of σ to a collection over $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$. In other words, the identification (2.8) may be realised via

$$\sigma(\pi) := (\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)(\pi))(e_G), \quad \pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(G). \tag{2.12}$$

2.4. **The Laplace-Beltrami operator.** We can decompose the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G as the direct sum $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{ss} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{ab}$ where $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ is semi-simple and $\mathfrak{g}_{ab}$ is abelian. Note that the group G can be written as the direct product of the semi-simple Lie group G_{ss} whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ together with the torus $\mathbb{T}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{ab}}$ with the same dimension as $\mathfrak{g}_{ab}$: $G = G_{ss} \times \mathbb{T}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{ab}}$. Fixing a scalar product on $\mathfrak{g}_{ab}$ and considering the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}_{ss}$ yield a scalar product on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{ss} \oplus^\perp \mathfrak{g}_{ab}$. The (positive) *Laplace-Beltrami operator* of the compact Lie group G is

$$\mathcal{L} := -X_1^2 - \ldots - X_n^2,$$

where $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are left invariant vector fields which form an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. However $\mathcal{L}$ does not depend on a particular choice of such a basis. Being invariant under left and right translations, $\mathcal{L}$ is a central operator and its group Fourier transform is scalar:

$$\forall \pi \in \widehat{G} \quad \exists! \lambda_\pi \in [0,\infty) \quad \pi(\mathcal{L}) = \lambda_\pi \mathrm{I}_\pi. \tag{2.13}$$

We will need some properties of λ_π which comes from the very rigid structure of weights and roots of the compact Lie groups. They are summarised in the following statement:

Lemma 2.11. (1) *Writing ω_π for the highest weight of a representation $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, we have $1 + |\omega_\pi| \asymp (1+\lambda_\pi)^{1/2}$, in the sense that there exists $C > 1$ such that*

$$\forall \pi \in \widehat{G} \qquad C^{-1}(1+|\omega_\pi|) \leq (1+\lambda_\pi)^{1/2} \leq C(1+|\omega_\pi|).$$

(2) *The highest weight of a representation $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ can be written as a sum $\omega_\pi = \omega_{\tau_1} + \ldots + \omega_{\tau_s}$ of highest weights of $\tau_j \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$ with $s = s_\pi$, and we have $s_\pi \asymp 1 + |\omega_\pi|$ in the sense that there exists $C > 1$ such that*

$$\forall \pi \in \widehat{G} \qquad C^{-1}(1+|\omega_\pi|) \leq s_\pi \leq C(1+|\omega_\pi|).$$

(3) *For any $\pi, \rho \in \widehat{G}$, if $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ intervenes in the decomposition of $\tau \otimes \pi$ into irreducibles for some $\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$ then $(1+\lambda_\rho) \asymp (1+\lambda_\pi)$. Furthermore the supremum over $\pi, \rho \in \widehat{G}$ and $\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$ such that $\rho \subset \tau \otimes \pi$*

$$\sup |\lambda_\rho - \lambda_\pi| < \infty$$

is finite.

(4) *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $\pi, \rho \in \widehat{G}$, if $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ intervenes in the decomposition of $\tau_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \tau_s \otimes \pi$ into irreducibles for some $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_s \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$ then $(1+\lambda_\rho) \asymp (1+\lambda_\pi)$. Furthermore the supremum over $\pi, \rho \in \widehat{G}$ and $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_s \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$ such that $\rho \subset \tau_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \tau_s \otimes \pi$*

$$\sup |\lambda_\rho - \lambda_\pi| < \infty,$$

is finite.

Proof. The $\mathcal{L}$-eigenvalue λ_π on $L^2_\pi(\widehat{G})$ can be written in terms of the weight ω_π of $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ as $\lambda_\pi = |\omega_\pi + \delta|^2 - |\delta|^2$, where δ denotes the half sum of the positive roots; see [9, Proposition 5.28] for the semi-simple case, which extends readily to reductive compact Lie groups. This implies Part (1) and Part (2) since there are only a finite number of fundamental representations.

Let us prove Part (3). Let $\pi, \rho \in \widehat{G}$ and $\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$ such that $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ intervenes in the decomposition of $\tau \otimes \pi$ into irreducibles. By [9, Proposition 9.72], $\omega_\rho = \omega_\pi + \mu$ for some weight μ of τ. The number of fundamental representations and of their weights are finite, so Part (3) follows from Part (1). Part (4) is proved recursively from Part (3). □

We keep the same notation for $\mathcal{L}$ and its self-adjoint extension on $L^2(G)$ having as domain of definition the space of all functions $f \in L^2(G)$ such that $\mathcal{L}f \in L^2(G)$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is a positive self-adjoint operator on $L^2(G)$. The Peter-Weyl Theorem yields an explicit spectral decomposition for $\mathcal{L}$ and of its spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{L}) = \{\lambda_\pi, \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ and that for any function $f : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ the spectral multiplier $f(\mathcal{L})$ is a well defined linear operator on $L^2_f(G)$ with symbol $\{f(\lambda_\pi)\mathrm{I}_\pi, \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$. If $f(\mathcal{L})$ extends to a continuous operator $\mathcal{D}(G) \to \mathcal{D}'(G)$, then by the Schwartz kernel theorem, it admits a distributional convolution kernel which we denote by $f(\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G} \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$:

$$f(\mathcal{L})\phi = \phi * (f(\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(G).$$

Naturally the group Fourier transform of this distribution is the symbol of the operator:

$$\mathcal{F}(f(\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G})(\pi) = f(\lambda_\pi)\mathrm{I}_\pi, \quad \pi \in \widehat{G}.$$

We will use some well known estimates for the heat kernel and other kernels of spectral multipliers of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\mathcal{L}$:

Theorem 2.12. (1) *For each $t > 0$, the heat kernel $p_t := e^{-t\mathcal{L}}\delta_{e_G}$ is a positive smooth function on G which satisfies*

$$\forall s, t > 0 \qquad \int_G p_t(x)dx = 1, \quad p_t(x^{-1}) = p_t(x), \quad \text{and} \quad p_t * p_s = p_{t+s}.$$

and there exists $C>0$ such that

$$\forall x\in G,\ t>0 \qquad 0<p_t(x)\leq CV(\sqrt{t})^{-1}e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{Ct}}.$$

(2) *For any $s\geq 0$and any $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n$, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that*

$$\forall t>0 \qquad \||x|^s p_t\|_{L^1(G)}\leq C\sqrt{t}^s \quad \text{and} \quad \||x|^s p_t\|_{L^2(G)}\leq C\sqrt{t}^{s-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

(3) *For any $s\geq 0$, and any $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n$, there exists a constant $C>0$ and $d\in\mathbb{N}_0$ such that for any $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$ continuous and supported in $[0,1]$, we have*

$$\forall t\in(0,1] \qquad \||x|^s X^\alpha f(t\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}\|_{L^1(G)}\leq C\sqrt{t}^{s-|\alpha|}\sup_{\substack{\lambda\geq 0\\ \ell=0,\dots,d}}|f^{(\ell)}(\lambda)|,$$

and

$$\forall t\in(0,1] \qquad \||x|^s f(t\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}\|_{L^2(G)}\leq C\sqrt{t}^{s-\frac{n}{2}}\sup_{\substack{\lambda\geq 0\\ \ell=0,\dots,d}}|f^{(\ell)}(\lambda)|,$$

in the sense that if the right-hand sides above are finite, then the left-hand sides are also finite and the inequalities hold.

Proof. For Part (1) see [24].

For Part (2), with $p=1,2$, we decompose the integral $\int(|x|^s p_t)^p$ as $\int_{|x|\leq\sqrt{t}}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{|x|\sim 2^j\sqrt{t}}$. Using the estimates of Part (1) together with $\int_G e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{Ct}}dx\leq CV(\sqrt{t})$, see [24, p.111], we obtain the bound.

Part (3) was proved in [6, Lemma Appendix A.6] for the L^1-norms using the estimates of the heat kernel and its derivatives which can be deduced from [24]. The methods were classical and mainly due to Alexopoulos, see [1]. They can be easily adapted to the case of the L^2-norms. □

3. Difference operators

In this section we study difference operators. These objects aim at defining the analogue of discrete derivatives on the dual of the torus in the general setting of compact Lie groups. In Section 3.1 we recall the intrinsic definition of fundamental difference operator while in Section 3.2 we give a different notion due to Ruzhansky and Turunen. In Section 3.3 we define an important function denoted by q_2. We then characterise the symbols which are annihilated by every fundamental difference operators in Section 3.4, or by repeated application of those in Sections 3.5 and 3.6.

3.1. **Fundamental difference operators.**

Here we recall the intrinsic definition of difference operators given in [6].

For each $\tau,\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ and $\sigma\in\Sigma(G)$, we define the linear mapping $\Delta_\tau\sigma(\pi)$ on $\mathcal{H}_\tau\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi$ via:

$$\Delta_\tau\sigma(\pi):=\sigma(\tau\otimes\pi)-\sigma(\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi). \tag{3.1}$$

The restriction of $\Delta_\tau\sigma(\pi)$ to any occurrence of $\rho\in\widehat{G}$ in a decomposition of $\tau\otimes\pi$, $\pi\in\widehat{G}$ defines the same mapping over $\mathcal{H}_\rho$. Therefore (3.1) defines a 'partial symbol' on any $\rho\in\widehat{G}$ occurring in $\tau\otimes\pi$, $\pi\in\widehat{G}$. Let us extend this trivially by defining the mapping to be zero for any $\rho\in\widehat{G}$ never appearing in any $\tau\otimes\pi$, $\pi\in\widehat{G}$.

Definition 3.1. The operation Δ_τ defined via (3.1) and extended trivially acts on $\Sigma(G)$ and is called the *difference operator* associated with $\tau\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$.

Example 3.2. The dual of the torus $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, is $\widehat{\mathbb{T}}=\{e_\ell,\ell\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ where $e_\ell(x)=e^{i\ell x}$, $x\in\mathbb{T}$. Note that $e_\ell\otimes e_m=e_{\ell+m}$. If the invariant symbol σ is the Fourier transform of $f\in\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T})$ as in Example 2.4,

$$\text{that is,}\quad \sigma=\widehat{f},\quad \sigma(e_\ell)=\widehat{f}(\ell)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}f(x)\bar{e}_\ell(x)dx,\quad \ell\in\mathbb{Z},$$

then the difference operator Δ_{e_ℓ} is given via

$$\Delta_{e_\ell}\widehat{f}(e_m)=\sigma(e_\ell\otimes e_m)-\sigma(1\otimes e_m)=\widehat{f}(\ell+m)-\widehat{f}(m).$$

Hence, for $\ell=\pm1$, Δ_{e_ℓ} is the usual discrete (forward or backward) difference operator on the lattice $\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 3.3. Let us consider the symbol $\mathrm{I}:=\{\mathrm{I}_\pi,\pi\in\widehat{G}\}$. Then $\Delta_\tau\mathrm{I}=0$ for any $\tau\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$.

Example 3.4. If $\tau,\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ and $X\in\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$, we have

$$(\tau\otimes\pi)(X)=\partial_{t=0}(\tau\otimes\pi)(e^{tX})=\tau(X)\otimes\pi(e_G)+\tau(e_G)\otimes\pi(X)=\tau(X)\otimes\mathrm{I}_\pi+\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi(X).$$

Hence we obtain readily

$$\forall\tau,\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)\qquad\forall X\in\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}\qquad(\tau\otimes\pi)(X)=\tau(X)\otimes\mathrm{I}_\pi+\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi(X).\tag{3.2}$$

Therefore for any $X\in\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ and $\tau,\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\tau\mathcal{F}_G(X)(\pi)&=\mathcal{F}_G(X)(\tau\otimes\pi)-\mathcal{F}_G(X)(\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi)=(\tau\otimes\pi)(X)-(\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi)(X)\\&=\tau(X)\otimes\mathrm{I}_\pi.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta_\tau\mathcal{F}_G(X)(\pi)$ is of the form $\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)\otimes\mathrm{I}_\pi$, we have for any $\tau_1\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$:

$$\Delta_{\tau_1}\Delta_\tau\mathcal{F}_G(X)=0.$$

The difference operators Δ_τ satisfy a Leibniz property of the type [6, Section 4.1]:

$$\Delta_\tau(\sigma_1\sigma_2)(\pi)=\Delta_\tau(\sigma_1)(\pi)\ \sigma_2(\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi)+\sigma_1(\tau\otimes\pi)\ \Delta_\tau(\sigma_2)(\pi),\tag{3.3}$$

for any $\sigma_1,\sigma_2\in\Sigma(G)$ and $\tau,\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$.

Using Examples 3.3 and 3.4 with the Leibniz property (3.3) recursively, we obtain:

Example 3.5. For any $\beta\in\mathbb{N}_0^n$, any $\tau_1,\dots,\tau_m\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$ with $m>|\beta|$, and any $\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$,

$$\Delta_{\tau_1}\dots\Delta_{\tau_m}\mathcal{F}_G(X^\beta)=0.$$

Naturally up to the order of writing of tensors, difference operators commute.

The (connected compact Lie) group G admits a finite set of fundamental representations:

$$\mathrm{Fund}(G) \subset \widehat{G} \subset \mathrm{Rep}(G),$$

in the sense that any representation in $\widehat{G}$ will occur in a tensor product $\otimes_j \tau_j$ of $\tau_j \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$.

Definition 3.6. The *fundamental difference operators* are the difference operators

$$\Delta_\tau, \quad \tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G),$$

corresponding to fundamental representations.

3.2. **The Ruzhansky-Turunen difference operators Δ_q.** Here we recall the difference operators Δ_q, called RT-difference operators, introduced by Michael Ruzhansky and Ville Turunen [15] with slight modifications. These RT-difference operators are different from our concept of difference operators explained in Section 3.1. The notation is close but the context should always prevent any ambiguity.

Definition 3.7. If $q \in \mathcal{D}(G)$, then the corresponding *RT-difference operator* Δ_q is the operator acting on the space of Fourier transforms $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathcal{D}'(G))$ via

$$\Delta_q \widehat{f} = \mathcal{F}_G\{qf\}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}'(G).$$

This definition is motived by the abelian case. Indeed, in the case of $\mathbb{R}$, if we denote the Euclidean Fourier transform of a (reasonable) function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\widehat{g} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}} g, \qquad \widehat{g}(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) e^{-ix\zeta} dx, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{R},$$

then $\partial_\xi^\alpha \widehat{g} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}}\{(-ix)^\alpha g\}$. The torus case is even more compelling:

Example 3.8 (Continuation of Example 3.2). In the case of the torus $\mathbb{T}$, we see that the difference operator Δ_{e_ℓ} associated with the one dimensional representation e_ℓ, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, is given on a Fourier transform $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{F}_G\mathcal{D}'(G)$ by:

$$\Delta_{e_\ell}\widehat{f}(e_m) = \widehat{f}(m+\ell) - \widehat{f}(m) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{ixm}(e^{i\ell x} - 1)\frac{dx}{2\pi} = \widehat{f q_\ell}(m) = \Delta_{q_\ell}\widehat{f}(m),$$

where $q_\ell(x) = e^{i\ell x} - 1$. Hence Δ_{e_ℓ} coincides with Δ_{q_ℓ} on Fourier transforms. In particular the backward and forward difference operators correspond to the function $q_{\pm 1}$.

3.3. **The function q_2.** For each $\tau \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, we fix a matrix realisation and we denote by $q_{i,j}^{(\tau)} \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ the matrix entries of $\tau - \mathrm{I}_\tau$:

$$q_{i,j}^{(\tau)}(x) = \tau_{i,j}(x) \text{ for } 1 \le i \ne j \le d_\tau, \quad q_{i,i}^{(\tau)}(x) = \tau_{i,i}(x) - 1 \text{ for } 1 \le i \le d_\tau.$$

We define the smooth function $q_2 \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ via

$$q_2(x) := \sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{1 \le i,j \le d_\tau} |q_{i,j}^{(\tau)}(x)|^2,$$

or equivalently avoiding a choice of basis for each $\mathcal{H}_\tau$:

$$q_2(x) := \sum_{\tau\in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \|\tau(x)-\mathrm{I}\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\tau)}, \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.9. *The function q_2 is smooth, non-negative, vanishes only at e_G and is equivalent to $|x|^2$ in the sense that*

$$\exists C>0 \quad \forall x\in G \qquad C|x|^2 \leq q_2(x) \leq C^{-1}|x|^2.$$

The ideas of the proof of Lemma 3.9 are essentially the same as in [6, Lemma 5.11].

Proof. Clearly q_2 is a non-negative smooth function. As the $q_{i,j}^{(\tau)}$'s vanish at e_G so does q_2. Moreover we have

$$q_2(x_0)=0 \iff \forall \tau\in \mathrm{Fund}(G) \quad \tau(x_0)=\mathrm{I}_\tau \iff \forall \tau\in \mathrm{Rep}(G) \quad \tau(x_0)=\mathrm{I}_\tau,$$

since any representation on G maybe decomposed as a sum of fundamental representations. This together with the inversion formula (2.4) shows that a zero x_0 of q_2 must satisfy $f(x_0)=f(e_G)$ for any $f\in\mathcal{D}(G)$, and therefore $x_0=e_G$. In other words, e_G is the only zero of q_2.

Having fixed an orthonormal basis $\{X_1,\dots,X_n\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, we compute easily

$$X_k q_2 = \sum_{\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq d_\tau} q_{i,j}^{(\tau)}\, X_k\bar{q}_{i,j}^{(\tau)} + \bar{q}_{i,j}^{(\tau)}\, X_k q_{i,j}^{(\tau)},$$

so that $X_k q_2(e_G)=0$, and

$$X_\ell X_k q_2(e_G) = 2\Re \sum_{\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq d_\tau} \tau_{i,j}(X_\ell)\,\bar{\tau}_{i,j}(X_k).$$

Let us show that the Hessian matrix $\mathrm{Hess}(q_2)(e_G)=[X_\ell X_k q_2(e_G)]_{1\leq \ell,k\leq n}$ is positive definite. If $v=(v_1,\dots,v_n)^t\in\mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{Hess}(q_2)(e_G)v)\cdot v &= \sum_{1\leq \ell,k\leq n} X_\ell X_k q_2(e_G) v_\ell v_k \\
&= 2\Re \sum_{\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq d_\tau} \sum_{1\leq \ell,k\leq n} \tau_{i,j}(X_\ell)\,\bar{\tau}_{i,j}(X_k)\, v_\ell v_k \\
&= 2\Re \sum_{\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq d_\tau} \Big|\sum_{1\leq \ell\leq n} v_\ell \tau_{i,j}(X_\ell)\Big|^2 \\
&= \sum_{\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)} \Big\|\tau\Big(\sum_{1\leq \ell\leq n} v_\ell X_\ell\Big)\Big\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\tau)}.
\end{aligned}$$

So this quantity is non-negative. Furthermore if it is zero for some $v\in\mathbb{R}^n$, then the vector $\sum_{1\leq\ell\leq n} v_\ell X_\ell\in\mathfrak{g}$ is in the kernel of every infinitesimal fundamental representation, therefore of any representation of the reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$; hence this vector has to be trivial and $v=0$. This shows that $\mathrm{Hess}(q_2)(e_G)$ is positive definite and concludes the proof. □

We now define the 'second order' difference operator Δ_{q_2} on $\widehat{G}$:

$$\Delta_{q_2} = \sum_{\tau\in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq d_\tau} \Delta_{|q_{i,j}^{(\tau)}|^2}.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. The Plancherel formula implies

$$(\Delta_{q_2}\widehat{f},\widehat{f})_{L^2(\widehat{G})} = \sum_{\tau\in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq d_\tau} (|q_{i,j}^{(\tau)}|^2 f, f)_{L^2(G)} = \sum_{\tau\in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{1\leq i,j\leq d_\tau} \|q_{i,j}^{(\tau)} f\|^2_{L^2(G)},$$

and

$$\|q_{i,j}^{(\tau)} f\|^2_{L^2(G)} = \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \|\Delta_{q_{i,j}^{(\tau)}} \widehat{f}(\pi)\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\pi)}.$$

We observe that

$$\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq d_\tau} \|\Delta_{q_{i,j}^{(\tau)}} \widehat{f}(\pi)\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} = \|\Delta_\tau \widehat{f}(\pi)\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\pi\otimes\mathcal{H}_\tau)}.$$

Therefore

$$(\Delta_{q_2}\widehat{f},\widehat{f})_{L^2(\widehat{G})} = (q_2 f, f)_{L^2(G)} = \sum_{\tau\in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \|\Delta_\tau \widehat{f}(\pi)\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\pi\otimes\mathcal{H}_\tau)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Remark 3.10. Note that in the case of $SU(2)$, there is only one fundamental representation τ; it is the linear action on $\mathbb{C}^2$, or in other word $\tau(g) = g$ for all $g \in SU(2)$. Hence $q_2(g) = \|\mathrm{I}_2 - g\|^2_{HS}$ and the corresponding difference operator Δ_{q_2} coincides with the difference operator Δ^2 defined in [2, Ch. IV §3 and Ch. V].

3.4. **Annihilator of the fundamental difference operators.** In this section, we show that if a symbol is annihilated by all the fundamental difference operators then it is $\mathrm{I} = \{\mathrm{I}_\pi, \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ up to a constant:

Lemma 3.11. *If $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is such that $\Delta_\tau \sigma = 0$ for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$ then $\sigma = \sigma(1_{\widehat{G}})\mathrm{I}$.*

The converse is true by Example 3.3.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be such that $\Delta_\tau\sigma = 0$ for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$. Then

$$\forall \pi \in \widehat{G} \qquad \sigma(\tau\otimes\pi) = \sigma(\mathrm{I}_\tau \otimes \pi). \tag{3.6}$$

Applying (3.6) to $\pi = 1_{\widehat{G}}$, we obtain $\sigma(\tau) = \sigma(1_{\widehat{G}})\mathrm{I}_\tau$ for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$. Using this and applying (3.6), we obtain $\sigma(\tau_1\otimes\tau_2) = \sigma(1_{\widehat{G}})\mathrm{I}_{\tau_1\otimes\tau_2}$ for any $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$. Recursively we obtain that σ must be equal to the identity up to the constant $\sigma(1_{\widehat{G}})$ at any representation π which can be written as a tensor product of fundamental representations, thus by linearity for any $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$. Hence $\sigma = \sigma(1_{\widehat{G}})\mathrm{I}$. □

The next lemma is a curiosity that will not be used in the rest of the paper. It shows that in the previous statement it suffices to consider the positive fundamental representations. We fix a hyperplane in $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and we denote by $\mathrm{Fund}(G)^+$ the set of positive fundamental representation.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$. We have*

$$\sigma = \sigma(1_{\widehat{G}})\mathrm{I} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall \tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)^+ \quad \Delta_\tau \sigma = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be such that $\Delta_\tau \sigma = 0$ for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)^+$. Let $\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)^+$. Then $\tau \otimes \bar{\tau}$ is a representation of G on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{H}_\tau$, which contains $1_{\widehat{G}}$ with multiplicity 1. More precisely, the line $\mathbb{C}v_0$ where $v_0 := \sum_i e_i \otimes \bar{e}_i$ for any orthonormal basis (e_i) of $\mathcal{H}_\tau$, is the space of this realisation of $1_{\widehat{G}}$. Thus

$$\sigma(\tau \otimes \bar{\tau})v_0 = \sigma(1_{\widehat{G}})v_0,$$

and applying (3.6) with $\pi = \bar{\tau}$ the contragradient representation of τ, this must be equal to

$$\left(\mathrm{I}_\tau \otimes \sigma(\bar{\tau})\right) v_0 = \sum_i e_i \otimes \left(\sigma(\bar{\tau})e_i\right).$$

This implies $\sigma(1_{\widehat{G}})e_i = \sigma(\bar{\tau})e_i$ for all i, so $\sigma(\bar{\tau}) = \sigma(1_{\widehat{G}})\mathrm{I}_{\bar{\tau}}$. Hence $\sigma(\rho) = \sigma(1_{\widehat{G}})\mathrm{I}_\rho$ for any positive fundamental representation ρ or the contragradient representation of any such representation, therefore for any fundamental representation. We can now conclude using Lemma 3.11. □

3.5. **Annihilator of any two fundamental difference operators.** This section is devoted to proving the following characterisation:

Lemma 3.13. *Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be such that $\sigma(1_{\widehat{G}}) = 0$. The following properties are equivalent:*

(1) *$\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_G(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$, i.e. there exists $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ such that $\sigma = \mathcal{F}_G(X) = \{\pi(X), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$,*
(2) *for all $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, we have*

$$\sigma(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2) = \sigma(\pi_1) \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\pi_2} + \mathrm{I}_{\pi_1} \otimes \sigma(\pi_2), \tag{3.7}$$

(3) *the same as (2) with $\pi_1 \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$ and $\pi_2 \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$,*
(4) *for all $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$, $\Delta_{\tau_1}\Delta_{\tau_2}\sigma = 0$.*

We check readily the implications (1) ⇒ (2) ⇒ (3), and (2)⇒ (4), see Example 3.4, especially (3.2).

Proof of (3)⇒(2). Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ satisfying $\sigma(1_{\widehat{G}}) = 0$ and Property (3). Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$ and $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$. Property (3) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2 \otimes \pi) &= \sigma(\tau_1) \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\tau_2 \otimes \pi} + \mathrm{I}_{\tau_1}\sigma(\tau_2 \otimes \pi) \\ &= \sigma(\tau_1) \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\tau_2} \otimes \mathrm{I}_\pi + \mathrm{I}_{\tau_1} \otimes \sigma(\tau_2) \otimes \mathrm{I}_\pi + \mathrm{I}_{\tau_1} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\tau_2} \otimes \sigma(\pi) \\ &= \sigma(\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2) \otimes \mathrm{I}_\pi + \mathrm{I}_{\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2} \otimes \sigma(\pi).\end{aligned}$$

We recognise (3.7) with $\pi_1 = \tau_1 \otimes \tau_2$. Therefore (3.7) holds for any $\pi_2 \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$ and π_1 any fundamental representation or tensor of any two fundamental representations. Proceeding recursively, we see that (3.7) holds for π_1 any tensors of fundamental representations. Since any representation $\pi_1 \in \widehat{G}$ intervenes in the decomposition into irreducibles of tensor of

fundamental representations, this shows that (3.7) holds for any $\pi_1 \in \widehat{G}$, and thus for any $\pi_1 \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$. □

Proof of (4)⇒(3). Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ satisfying $\sigma(1_{\widehat{G}}) = 0$ and Property (4). Let $\tau_2 \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$. Each entry of $\Delta_{\tau_2}\sigma$ is a symbol annihilated by all the difference operators Δ_{τ_1}, $\tau_1 \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$, and therefore is of the form $c\mathrm{I}$ for some complex constant c by Lemma 3.11. Writing with tensors, this means that there exists $M_\tau \in \mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_2})$ such that

$$\forall \pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) \qquad \Delta_{\tau_2}\sigma(\pi) = M_{\tau_2} \otimes \mathrm{I}_\pi.$$

Applying this to $\pi = 1_{\widehat{G}}$, we obtain

$$M_{\tau_2} = \Delta_{\tau_2}\sigma(1_{\widehat{G}}) = \sigma(\tau_2 \otimes 1_{\widehat{G}}) - \sigma(\mathrm{I}_\tau \otimes 1_{\widehat{G}}) = \sigma(\tau_2),$$

since $\sigma(1_{\widehat{G}}) = 0$. Therefore $\Delta_{\tau_2}\sigma(\pi) = \sigma(\tau_2) \otimes \mathrm{I}_\pi$ and Property (3) holds. □

Proof of (2)⇒(1). Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ satisfying $\sigma(1_{\widehat{G}}) = 0$ and Property (2).

Let us show that $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma) : L^2_f(G) \to \mathcal{D}(G)$ extends uniquely in a continuous linear operator $H^1(G) \to L^2(G)$. Let us recall [6, Section 2.3] that the Sobolev spaces $H^s(G)$, $s \geq 0$, on G may be defined via local maps and the Euclidean Sobolev spaces on $\mathbb{R}^n$, or globally as the closure of $\mathcal{D}(G)$ for the norm

$$\phi \mapsto \|(\mathrm{I} + \mathcal{L})^{s/2}\phi\|_{L^2(G)} = \|\phi\|_{H^s}.$$

Furthermore the space $L^2_f(G)$ is dense in each Hilbert space H^s and in the Fréchet space $\mathcal{D}(G) = \cap_{s\geq 0} H^s = \cap_{s\in\mathbb{N}} H^s$. Hence it suffices to show that

$$\exists C > 0 \quad \forall \pi \in \widehat{G} \quad \|\sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \leq C(1 + \lambda_\pi)^{1/2}. \tag{3.8}$$

Let $\pi \in \widehat{G}$. We can write its highest weight as $\omega_\pi = \omega_{\tau_1} + \ldots + \omega_{\tau_s}$ where ω_{τ_j} denotes the highest weight of $\tau_j \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$, and π intervenes in the decomposition of $\tau_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \tau_s$ so

$$\|\sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \leq \|\sigma(\tau_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \tau_s)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\ldots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_s})}.$$

Applying (3.7) recursively, we see

$$\sigma(\tau_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \tau_s) = \sigma(\tau_1) \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\tau_2} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\tau_s} + \mathrm{I}_{\tau_1} \otimes \sigma(\tau_2) \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\tau_s} + \ldots,$$

so that

$$\|\sigma(\tau_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \tau_s)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\tau_1\otimes\ldots\otimes\tau_s)} \leq \|\sigma(\tau_1)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1})} + \ldots + \|\sigma(\tau_s)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_s})} \leq s \max_{\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}G} \|\sigma(\tau)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)}.$$

By Lemma 2.11 Parts (1) and (2), $s \asymp (1 + \lambda_\pi)^{1/2}$. We have therefore obtained (3.8), and $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ extends uniquely in a continuous linear operator $H^1(G) \to L^2(G)$.

Let us show that

$$\forall f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(G) \qquad \mathrm{Op}(\sigma)(f_1 f_2)(x) = \mathrm{Op}(\sigma)(f_1)(x)\ f_2(x) + f_1(x)\ \mathrm{Op}(\sigma)(f_2)(x). \tag{3.9}$$

We have just proved that the linear operator $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma) : H^1(G) \to L^2(G)$ is continuous. As $L^2_f(G)$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{D}(G)$ for the $H^1(G)$-norm, it suffices to prove (3.9) for $f_1, f_2 \in L^2_f(G)$, and in fact for $f_1 \in L^2_{\pi_1}$ and $f_2 \in L^2_{\pi_2}$ for some $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \widehat{G}$, and furthermore for

$f_1(x) = \langle \pi_1(x)v_1, w_1\rangle$, $f_2(x) = \langle \pi_2(x)v_2, w_2\rangle$ for some unit vector $v_1, w_1 \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_1}$, $v_2, w_2 \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi_2}$. Let us assume that f_1, f_2 are such functions.

The Peter-Weyl theorem (Theorem 2.2) implies readily

$$\widehat{f_1}(\pi) : v \longmapsto \delta_{\pi=\pi_1} \frac{1}{d_{\pi_1}} \langle v, v_1\rangle w_1 \,, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Op}(\sigma)(f_1)(x) = \langle \pi_1(x)\sigma(\pi_1)w_1, v_1\rangle \,. \tag{3.10}$$

We decompose $\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2 = \sum_\rho \rho$ into a finite sum of $\rho \in \widehat{G}$. We also decompose the vectors $v_1 \otimes v_2 = \sum_\rho a_\rho v_\rho$ and $w_1 \otimes w_2 = \sum_\rho b_\rho w_\rho$ with v_ρ, w_ρ unit vectors of $\mathcal{H}_\rho$ and $a_\rho, b_\rho \in \mathbb{C}$. We have $f_1 f_2 = \sum_\rho g_\rho$ with $g_\rho(x) = a_\rho b_\rho \langle \rho(x)v_\rho, w_\rho\rangle$, so

$$\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)(f_1 f_2)(x) = \sum_\rho \mathrm{Op}(\sigma)(g_\rho)(x) = \sum_\rho a_\rho b_\rho \langle \rho(x)\sigma(\rho)w_\rho, v_\rho\rangle \,,$$

having used the computations in (3.10) with g_ρ instead of f_1. Using tensor notation, this can be summarised as

$$\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)(f_1 f_2)(x) = \langle (\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)(x)\sigma(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)w_1 \otimes w_2, v_1 \otimes v_2\rangle \,.$$

We now use $(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)(x) = \pi_1(x) \otimes \pi_2(x)$ and (3.7) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Op}(\sigma)(f_1 f_2)(x) = {} & \langle \pi_1(x)\sigma(\pi_1)w_1, v_1\rangle \langle \pi_2(x)\sigma(\pi_2)w_2, v_2\rangle \\ & + \langle \pi_1(x)\sigma(\pi_1)w_1, v_1\rangle \langle \pi_2(x)\sigma(\pi_2)w_2, v_2\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (3.10), we recognise the right-hand side of (3.9) for our choice of functions f_1, f_2 above.

This shows that (3.9) holds for any $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. In other words, $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ is a derivation of $\mathcal{D}(G)$. Therefore, it is a vector field on G. As the operator $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ is left-invariant, it must coincide with some left-invariant vector field X identified with an element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. This shows Property (1). □

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.13.

3.6. **Annihilator of several fundamental difference operators.** In this section, we show the following property:

Proposition 3.14. *Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$.*

If σ is annihilated by the difference operators $\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s}$ for any $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_s \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$, then the symbol σ is a complex linear combination of the symbols $\mathcal{F}_G(X^\beta) = \{\pi(X)^\beta, \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ with $|\beta| \leq s-1$.

By Example 3.5, the converse is true and Proposition 3.14 therefore yields the following characterisations given $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \in \mathcal{F}_G(\mathfrak{U}_{s-1}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})) &\iff \forall \tau_1, \dots, \tau_s \in \mathrm{Fund}(G) \quad \Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s}\sigma = 0 \\ &\iff \forall \tau_1, \dots, \tau_s \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) \quad \Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s}\sigma = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

In the proof of Proposition 3.14, we will need the simple computational remark:

$$\forall \sigma \in \Sigma,\ \pi_1, \pi_2, \pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(G) \quad \Delta_{\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2}\sigma(\pi) = \Delta_{\pi_1}\sigma(\pi_2 \otimes \pi) + \mathrm{I}_{\pi_1} \otimes \Delta_{\pi_2}\sigma(\pi). \tag{3.12}$$

which follows from the definition of difference operators.

Proof of Proposition 3.14. Let us prove Proposition 3.14 recursively on s. By Lemmata 3.11 and 3.13, we have already obtained the equivalences in (3.11) for $s = 1, 2$. Let us assume that the equivalences (3.11) have been established for $s = 1, 2, \dots, s_0$.

Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ annihilated by the difference operators $\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_{s_0+1}}$ for any $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{s_0+1} \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$. Then by (3.12), it is annihilated by the difference operators $\Delta_\tau \Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_{s_0}}$ for any $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{s_0} \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$ and τ being a fundamental representation or a tensor of two fundamental representations, and recursively any tensor of fundamental representations, therefore any non-trivial representation in fact. Since $\Delta_{1_{\widehat{G}}}$ annihilate any symbol, we can take τ even trivial. Then for each $\tau \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, the $\mathcal{H}_\tau$-entries of $\Delta_\tau\sigma$ is annihilated by any product of s_0 fundamental operators and therefore we have:

$$\Delta_\tau\sigma(\pi) = \sum_{|\beta|=s_0} M_{\tau,\beta} \otimes \pi(X)^\beta + l.o.t. \tag{3.13}$$

where $M_{\tau,\beta} \in \mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau)$ and $l.o.t.$ stand for lower order terms, that is here, modulo $\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau) \otimes \pi(\mathfrak{U}_{s_0-1}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}))$. Note that this writing is unique. So for each $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, we have obtained a symbol $\{M_{\tau,\beta}, \tau \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)\}$ which is 0 at $\tau = 1_{\widehat{G}}$.

Furthermore, for any $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\tau_1 \otimes \tau_2}\sigma(\pi) &= \sum_{|\beta|=s_0} M_{\tau_1\otimes\tau_2,\beta} \otimes \pi(X)^\beta + l.o.t.,\\
\Delta_{\tau_1}\sigma(\tau_2 \otimes \pi) &= \sum_{|\beta|=s_0} M_{\tau_1,\beta} \otimes (\tau_2 \otimes \pi)(X)^\beta + l.o.t.\\
&= \sum_{|\beta|=s_0} M_{\tau_1,\beta} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\tau_2} \otimes \pi(X)^\beta + l.o.t.,
\end{aligned}$$

so that (3.12) implies that

$$\sum_{|\beta|=s_0} M_{\tau_1\otimes\tau_2,\beta} \otimes \pi(X)^\beta = \sum_{|\beta|=s_0} (M_{\tau_1,\beta} \otimes \mathrm{I}_{\tau_2} + \mathrm{I}_{\tau_1} \otimes M_{\tau_2,\beta}) \otimes \pi(X)^\beta.$$

Hence each symbol $\{M_{\tau,\beta}, \tau \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)\}$ satisfies Property (2) of Lemma 3.13. It has to be of the form $\mathcal{F}_G(Y_\beta)$ for some $Y_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$. Because of (3.13), the symbol $\sigma_1 := \sigma - \sum_{|\beta|=s_0} \mathcal{F}_G(Y_\beta X^\beta) \in \Sigma$ will be such that $\Delta_\tau\sigma$ will be of the form (3.13) with s_0 replaced by $s_0 - 1$. Proceeding as above, we obtain recursively on s_0 that $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_G(\mathfrak{U}_{s_0-1}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}))$. This shows that (3.11) holds for $s_0 + 1, s_0, \dots, 1$. This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.14. □

4. Homogeneous Sobolev spaces on $\widehat{G}$

In this section, we study spaces of symbols which we define and call homogeneous Sobolev spaces in Section 4.1. We discuss examples, especially functions of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ in Section 4.2, with a proof of an important property given in Section 4.5. We also discuss weak Leibniz estimates, or in other words how to bound the homogeneous Sobolev norm of two symbols, in Section 4.4. This requires defining some new spaces $L^\infty_s(\widehat{G})$ in Section 4.3.

4.1. **Definition and first properties.**

Definition 4.1. The homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})$ on $\widehat{G}$ is the set of symbol $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that the following quantity is finite:

$$\|\sigma\|^2_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})} := \sum_{\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \|\Delta_\tau \sigma(\pi)\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\pi\otimes\mathcal{H}_\tau)}.$$

The map $\sigma \mapsto \|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})}$ is a semi-norm or in other words a non-definite norm since by Proposition 3.14 or Lemma 3.11, we have for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$,

$$\|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})} = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \sigma = \sigma(1_{\widehat{G}})\mathrm{I}.$$

We can define the associated non-definite Hilbert product

$$(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})} = \sum_{\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_\pi\otimes\mathcal{H}_\tau} \left(\Delta_\tau\sigma_1(\pi)\ (\Delta_\tau\sigma_2(\pi))^*\right).$$

It follows easily from (3.5) that $\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})$ contains $\mathcal{D}(G)$.

Example 4.2. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ we have $\widehat{\phi} \in \dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})$ with $\|\widehat{\phi}\|_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})} = \|\phi\|_{L^2(q_2(x)dx)}$.

Lemma 4.3. *For every $\sigma \in \dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})$ there exists a unique function f locally integrable on $G\backslash\{e_G\}$ satisfying $f \in L^2(q_2(x)dx)$ with $\|f\|_{L^2(q_2(x)dx)} = \|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})}$ and*

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(G) \qquad (\phi, f)_{L^2(q_2(x)dx)} = (\widehat{\phi},\sigma)_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})}.$$

Proof. The map $\phi \mapsto (\widehat{\phi},\sigma)_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})}$ is linear on $\mathcal{D}(G)$ and satisfies

$$|(\widehat{\phi},\sigma)_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})}| \le \|\widehat{\phi}\|_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})}\|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})} = \|\phi\|_{L^2(q_2(x)dx)}\|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})},$$

see Example 4.2. Therefore this linear form extends continuously on the Hilbert space $L^2(q_2(x)dx)$ and is given by $\phi \mapsto (\phi, f)_{L^2(q_2(x)dx)}$ for a unique $f \in L^2(q_2(x)dx)$. Moreover $\|f\|_{L^2(q_2(x)dx)}$ is equal to the norm of this linear form, which is $\le \|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})}$. One shows that $\|f\|_{L^2(q_2(x)dx)} = \|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})}$ by considering the sequence of functions ϕ_ℓ such that $\widehat{\phi_\ell}(\pi) = \sigma(\pi)$ for $\lambda_\pi \le \ell$ and 0 otherwise and let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ tends to $+\infty$. □

Hence $\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})$ quotiented by the kernel $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{I}$ of its seminorm is a Hilbert space isometrically isomorphic to $L^2(q_2(x)dx)$. Note that Lemma 3.9 implies that $L^2(q_2(x)dx) = L^2(|x|^2dx)$.

More generally, for $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$, we have

$$\|\phi\|^2_{L^2(q_2^s(x)dx)} = \int_G |\phi|^2 (\sum_{\tau,i,j} |q_{i,j}^{(\tau)}|^2)^s = \sum_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_s} \int_G |\phi|^2 \sum_{i_1,j_1,\dots,i_s,j_s} |q_{i_1,j_1}^{(\tau_1)}|^2 \dots |q_{i_s,j_s}^{(\tau_s)}|^2,$$

and the Plancherel formula then yields

$$\int_G |\phi|^2 \sum_{i_1,j_1,\dots,i_s,j_s} |q_{i_1,j_1}^{(\tau_1)}|^2 \dots |q_{i_s,j_s}^{(\tau_s)}|^2 = \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \|\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s}\sigma\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_s}\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)}.$$

We are led to define:

Definition 4.4. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. The homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ on $\widehat{G}$ is the set of symbol $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \|\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s}\sigma(\pi)\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_s}\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)}.$$

is finite for every $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_s \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)^+$.

The map $\sigma \mapsto \|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})}$ given via

$$\|\sigma\|^2_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} = \sum_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_s\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \|\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s}\sigma\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_s}\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)}$$

is a semi-norm on $\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$. It is non-definite and in fact, by Proposition 3.14 we have for any $\sigma \in \dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$:

$$\|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} = 0 \iff \sigma \in \mathcal{F}_G(\mathfrak{U}_{s-1}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})).$$

We can define the non-definite Hilbert inner product of $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ via:

$$(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)^2_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} = \sum_{\substack{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_s\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)\\ \pi\in\widehat{G}}} d_\pi \mathrm{Tr}_{HS(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_s}\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \left(\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s}\sigma_1(\pi)\ (\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s}\sigma_2(\pi))^*\right).$$

The computations above imply:

Example 4.5. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ we have $\widehat{\phi} \in \dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ with $\|\widehat{\phi}\|_{\dot{H}^1(\widehat{G})} = \|\phi\|_{L^2(q_2^s(x)dx)}$.

As in the case $s = 1$, we prove easily:

Lemma 4.6. *For every $\sigma \in \dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ there exists a unique function f locally integrable on $G\backslash\{e_G\}$ satisfying $f \in L^2(q_2^s(x)dx)$ with $\|f\|_{L^2(q_2^s(x)dx)} = \|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})}$ and*

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(G) \qquad (\phi, f)_{L^2(q_2^s(x)dx)} = (\widehat{\phi}, \sigma)_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})}.$$

We may abuse the notation and set

$$f := \mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma.$$

The properties above show that the quotient of $\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ by the kernel of its norm is a Hilbert space isometrically isomorphic to $L^2(q_2^s(x)dx)$. Lemma 3.9 implies that $L^2(q_2^s(x)dx) =$

$L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)$. We see that the space $\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ are growing with $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and that there is a natural extension of the definition for $s \in \mathbb{R}$:

Definition 4.7. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ is defined as

- the set of symbol $\sigma \in L^2(\widehat{G})$ such that $\mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma \in L^2(|x|^s dx)$ if $s \leq 0$,
- in Definition 4.4 if $s > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$,
- the set of symbol $\sigma \in \dot{H}^{[s]+1}(\widehat{G})$ such that $\mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma \in L^2(|x|^s dx)$ if $s > 0$ and $s \notin \mathbb{N}$.

Here $[s]$ denotes the integer part of $s > 0$, that is, the largest integer $s_0 \geq 0$ such that $s_0 \leq s$.

In all cases, we can define the non-definite norm as

$$\|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} := \|\mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma\|_{L^2(q_2^s(x)dx)}.$$

These homogeneous Sobolev spaces $\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ enjoy the following properties:

Proposition 4.8. *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$.*

(1) *The quotient of $\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ by the kernel of its norm is a Hilbert space isometrically isomorphic to $L^2(q_2^s(x)dx)$, and we have $L^2(q_2^s(x)dx) = L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)$. The kernel of its norm is 0 if $s \leq 0$, $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathfrak{U}_{s-1}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ if $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathfrak{U}_{[s]-1}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}))$ if $s \in (0,+\infty)\backslash\mathbb{N}$.*

(2) *For all $s_2 \geq s_1$, we have $\dot{H}^{s_2}(\widehat{G}) \subset \dot{H}^{s_1}(\widehat{G})$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\forall \sigma \in \dot{H}^{s_2}(\widehat{G}) \qquad \|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^{s_1}(\widehat{G})} \leq C\|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^{s_2}(\widehat{G})}.$$

(3) *If $s < n/2$ and $\sigma \in \dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ then $\mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma \in L^1(G)$ with $\|\mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma\|_{L^1(G)} \leq C_s\|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})}$.*

(4) *Let $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $\sigma \in \dot{H}^{s_1}(\widehat{G}) \cap \dot{H}^{s_2}(\widehat{G})$, we have $\sigma \in \dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ for any $s \in [s_1, s_2]$ and if we write s as $s = \theta s_1 + (1-\theta)s_2$ with $\theta \in [0,1]$ then we have the inequality:*

$$\|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \leq \|\sigma\|^{\theta}_{\dot{H}^{s_1}(\widehat{G})}\|\sigma\|^{1-\theta}_{\dot{H}^{s_2}(\widehat{G})}.$$

Proof. The properties follow readily from Section 4.1, and

- the inclusion $L^2(|x|^{2s_2}dx) \subset L^2(|x|^{2s_1}dx)$ holds and is continuous when $s_1 \geq s_2$,
- the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality on G implies that

$$\forall s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R},\ \theta \in [0,1] \qquad \|f\|_{L^2(|x|^{2(\theta s_1+(1-\theta)s_2)}dx)} \leq \|f\|^{\theta}_{L^2(|x|^{2s_1}dx)}\|f\|^{1-\theta}_{L^2(|x|^{2s_2}dx)},$$

and that

$$\|f\|_{L^1(G)} \leq \||\cdot|^{-s}\|_{L^2}\||\cdot|^s f_{L^2}.$$

□

4.2. **Function of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ in $\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$.** In this section, we show that functions of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ provide symbols which are in $\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ under certain conditions on the functions. Note that the methods and the ideas presented here are very classical, see e.g. [12, Section 1].

The well-known kernel estimates (Theorem 2.12 Part (3)) yield easily:

Proposition 4.9. *Let G be a compact Lie group of dimension n.*

(1) *Let $s > 0$. There exist $C = C_{s,G} > 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that for any continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ supported in $[0,1]$ we have*

$$\forall t \in (0,1) \qquad \|f(t\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \leq C t^{\frac{1}{2}(s-\frac{n}{2})} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0, \ell = 0,\dots,d} |f^{(\ell)}(\lambda)|,$$

in the sense that if the left-hand side is finite then $f(t\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) \in \dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ for any $t \in (0,1)$ and the inequality holds.

(2) *Let $s > n/2$. There exist $C = C_{s,G} > 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that for any continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\|f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \leq C \sup_{\lambda \geq 0, \ell = 0,\dots,d} (1+\lambda)^{\ell} |f^{(\ell)}(\lambda)|,$$

in the sense that if the left-hand side is finite then $f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) \in \dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ and the inequality holds.

Proof. Part (1) follows from Proposition 4.8 and Theorem 2.12 Part (3). Let us prove Part (2). We fix a dyadic decomposition, that is, a function $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ valued in $[0,1]$ such that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_j = 1 \quad \text{on } (0,\infty), \quad \text{where } \eta_j(\lambda) := \eta_1(2^{-j}\lambda),\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R},\ j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

As the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is a discrete subset of $[0,\infty)$ with no accumulation point, there exists j_0 depending on η and G, such that $\eta_j(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) = 0$ for all $j < j_0$. Furthermore the 0-eigenspace is $\mathbb{C}1_G$, where 1_G denotes the function on G constantly equal to 1. Recall $\widehat{1}_G = \delta_{1_{\widehat{G}}}$. We have:

$$f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) = f(0)\delta_{1_{\widehat{G}}} + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (f\eta_j)(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}).$$

The sum over $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ is in fact over $j > j_0$ and we have

$$\|\delta_{1_{\widehat{G}}}\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} = \|1_G\|_{L^2(q_2^s(x)dx)} = \|q_2^s\|_{L^1(G)} < \infty.$$

Hence we have obtained

$$\|f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \leq |f(0)| \|q_2^s\|_{L^1(G)} + \sum_{j > j_0} \|(f(2^j\cdot)\eta_1)(2^{-j}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})}. \tag{4.1}$$

We estimate each term in the sum using Part (1):

$$\|(f\eta_j)(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} = \|(f(2^j\cdot)\eta_1)(2^{-j}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}(s-\frac{n}{2})} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0, \ell = 0,\dots,d} |\partial_\lambda^\ell \{(f(2^j\lambda)\eta_1(\lambda)\}|,$$

$$\lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}(s-\frac{n}{2})} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0, \ell_1 = 0,\dots,d} (1+\lambda)^{\ell_1} |f^{(\ell_1)}(\lambda)|.$$

Therefore

$$\|f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim |f(0)| + \sum_{j > j_0} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}(s-\frac{n}{2})} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0, \ell_1 = 0,\dots,d} (1+\lambda)^{\ell_1} |f^{(\ell_1)}(\lambda)|,$$

and the conclusion follows. □

The rest of this section is devoted to a similar property to Proposition 4.9 Part (2) with the Sobolev norm now replacing the supremum. More precisely, we show:

Proposition 4.10. *Let G be a compact Lie group of dimension n. Let $s' > s > \frac{n}{2}$. There exist a constant $C > 0$ and a function $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ such that for every continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\|f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \leq C \sup_{r>0} \|f(r\,\cdot)\eta\|_{H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})},$$

in the sense that if the left-hand side is finite then $f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) \in \dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ and the inequality holds.

The main technical point in the proof of Proposition 4.10 is the following estimates:

Lemma 4.11. *Let G be a compact Lie group of dimension n.*

(1) *Let $s, s' \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s' > s + \frac{1}{2}$ and $s \geq 1$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ supported in $[0,1]$ and every $t \in (0,1)$ we have*

$$\|f(t\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \leq C t^{\frac{1}{2}(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|f\|_{H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

(2) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ continuous and supported in $[0,1]$, and every $t \in (0,1)$ we have*

$$\|f(t\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})} \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{4}} \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} |f(\lambda)|.$$

Admitting this lemma, the proof of Proposition 4.10 follows easily:

Proof of Proposition 4.10. Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 4.9 Part (2), we obtain the inequality (4.1). Note that

$$|f(0)| \leq \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} |f(\lambda)| \lesssim \sup_{r>0} \|f(r\,\cdot)\eta_1\|_{H^{s_1}},$$

for any $s_1 > 1/2$ by the Sobolev embeddings on $\mathbb{R}$.

To estimate each term of the sum in (4.1), we proceed as follows. Thanks to Lemma 4.11, we can interpolate the operator $\phi \mapsto (\phi\eta_1)(t\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}$ between $H_0^{s'}(\Omega) = W_0^{s',2}(\Omega) \to L^2(q_2^s(x)dx)$ and $W_0^{\epsilon,\infty}(\Omega) \to L^2(dx)$, $\epsilon > 0$; here Ω denotes an open interval of $(0,\infty)$ containing the support of η_1, and the subscript 0 means that the Sobolev spaces are obtained by density of the smooth functions with compact support in Ω. This yields for any $s > s' > 0$:

$$\exists C > 0 \qquad \forall t \in (0,1) \qquad \|(\phi\eta_1)(t\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\phi\|_{W_0^{s',p}(\Omega)},$$

where $p > 2$ is such that $s \geq \theta$, $s' - s \geq \theta\epsilon$, $\epsilon > \theta/2$, with $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ given as small as one wants and $\theta := 2/p$. The Sobolev embeddings gives $\|\phi\|_{W_0^{s',p}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\phi\|_{W_0^{\tilde{s}',2}(\Omega)}$ whenever $\tilde{s}' - s' \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}$. Therefore, choosing p large enough, we have obtained:

$$\forall s' > s > 0 \qquad \forall t \in (0,1) \qquad \|(\phi\eta_1)(t\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim_{s',s} t^{\frac{1}{2}(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|\phi\|_{H_0^{s'}(\Omega)}.$$

We then apply this to $t = 2^{-j}$ and $\phi = f(2^j \cdot)\tilde{\eta}_1$, where $\tilde{\eta}_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is such that $\tilde{\eta}_1 \equiv 1$ on the support of η_1. We have therefore obtained for any $s' > s > 0$ and any $s_1 > n/2$:

$$\|f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim_{s',s,s_1} \sup_{r>0} \|f(r\,\cdot)\eta_1\|_{H^{s_1}} + \sum_{j>j_0} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}(s-\frac{n}{2})} \|f(2^j\,\cdot)\tilde{\eta}_1\|_{H_0^{s'}(\Omega)},$$

and the conclusion follows. □

We can start the proof of Lemma 4.11:

Proof of Lemma 4.11. Part (2) follows by functional calculus and Theorem 2.12 Part (3). Let us prove Part (1). Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ supported in $[0,1]$. We write $f(\lambda)$ as $g(e^{-\lambda})$ with $g \in H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})$ supported in $[e^{-1},1]$. Decomposing g into Fourier series, we have $g(\mu) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ik\mu}$ for all $\mu \in (-\pi,\pi)$. Note that

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |a_k|^2 (1+k^2)^{s'} \asymp \|g\|_{H^{s'}}^2 \asymp \|f\|_{H^{s'}}^2 \tag{4.2}$$

is finite. We see $f(\lambda) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ike^{-\lambda}}$ for any $\lambda \geq 0$, and by functional calculus,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(t\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} &\leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |a_k| \|e^{ike^{-t\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}}\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |a_k|^2 (1+k^2)^{s'}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (1+k^2)^{-s'} \|e^{ike^{-t\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}}\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

by Cauchy-Schwartz' inequality.

The conclusion follows from (4.2) and Lemma 4.12 below. □

Lemma 4.12. *Let G be a compact Lie group of dimension n. For any $s \geq 1$ there exists $C = C_{s,p} > 0$ such that*

$$\forall t \in (0,1), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \|e^{ike^{-t\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}}\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \leq C\sqrt{t}^{s-\frac{n}{2}} |k|^s.$$

Hence it remains to show Lemma 4.12. This will be done in Section 4.5 after the introduction of some new properties which are needed in the proof. This will conclude the proofs of Lemma 4.11 and thus of Proposition 4.10.

4.3. **The spaces $\dot{L}_s^\infty(\widehat{G})$.** In this section we define and study subspaces $\dot{L}_s^\infty(\widehat{G})$ of Σ which will be useful in the study of Leibniz-type estimates in Section 4.4.

Definition 4.13. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. The space $\dot{L}_s^\infty(\widehat{G})$ is the set of symbol $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that

$$\sup_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} \|\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s} \sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1\otimes\dots\otimes\tau_s\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi})}$$

is finite for every $\tau_1,\dots,\tau_s \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$. We set

$$\|\sigma\|_{\dot{L}_s^\infty(\widehat{G})} := \max_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_s\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sup_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} \|\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s} \sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1\otimes\dots\otimes\tau_s\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi})}.$$

The map $\sigma \mapsto \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty_s(\widehat{G})}$ is a semi-norm or in other words a non-definite norm since by Proposition 3.14 or Lemma 3.11, we have for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$,

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty_s(\widehat{G})} = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{F}_G(\mathfrak{U}_{s-1}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})).$$

We define the case $s = 0$ as coinciding with $L^\infty(\widehat{G})$:

$$\dot{L}^\infty_0(\widehat{G}) := L^\infty(\widehat{G}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\sigma\|_{\dot{L}^\infty_0(\widehat{G})} := \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}.$$

The following shows that the Fourier transform of integrable functions are in $L^\infty_s(\widehat{G})$:

Lemma 4.14. *For any $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\forall \kappa \in L^1(G) \qquad \|\widehat{\kappa}\|_{\dot{L}^\infty_s(\widehat{G})} \leq C \|\kappa\|_{L^1(|x|^s dx)}.$$

Proof. Let $\kappa \in L^1(G)$. We have

$$\|\sigma\|_{\dot{L}^\infty_1(\widehat{G})} \asymp \max_{\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} \|\Delta_\tau \widehat{\kappa}(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi, HS(\mathcal{H}_\tau))},$$

since all the operator norms on the finite dimensional space $\mathcal{H}_\tau$ are equivalent. We have

$$\Delta_\tau \widehat{\kappa}(\pi) = \widehat{\kappa}(\tau \otimes \pi) - \widehat{\kappa}(\mathrm{I}_\tau \otimes \pi) = \int_G \kappa(x) \left(\tau(x) - \mathrm{I}\right)^* \otimes \pi(x) dx,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_\tau \widehat{\kappa}(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi, HS(\mathcal{H}_\tau))} &\leq \int_G |\kappa(x)| \| \left(\tau(x) - \mathrm{I}\right)^* \otimes \pi(x)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi, HS(\mathcal{H}_\tau))} dx \\ &\leq \int_G |\kappa(x)| \|\tau(x) - \mathrm{I}\|_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain:

$$\|\sigma\|_{\dot{L}^\infty_1(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \int_G |\kappa(x)| \max_{\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \|\tau(x) - \mathrm{I}\|_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} dx.$$

As there is only a finite number of fundamental representations,

$$\max_{\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \|\tau(x) - \mathrm{I}\|_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\tau)} \asymp \sqrt{\sum_{\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \|\tau(x) - \mathrm{I}\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\tau)}},$$

and the right-hand side is equal to $\sqrt{q_2(x)}$, see (3.4). By Lemma 3.9, $\sqrt{q_2(x)} \asymp |x|$. This shows the case $s = 1$.

The general case is proved in a similar way. □

Lemma 4.15. *For any $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $s_1 \leq s_2$ the inclusion $\dot{L}^\infty_{s_1}(\widehat{G}) \subset \dot{L}^\infty_{s_2}(\widehat{G})$ holds and there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\forall \sigma \in \dot{L}^\infty_{s_1}(\widehat{G}) \quad \|\sigma\|_{\dot{L}^\infty_{s_2}(\widehat{G})} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{\dot{L}^\infty_{s_1}(\widehat{G})}.$$

Proof. We observe that

$$\|\Delta_\tau \sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi)} \leq \|\sigma(\tau \otimes \pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi)} + \|\mathrm{I}_\tau \otimes \sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi)} \leq 2\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}.$$

This implies the case $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = 1$.

The general case is proved using similar considerations. □

Thanks to the introduction of $\dot{L}^\infty_s(\widehat{G})$, we can now state and prove Leibniz-type estimates.

4.4. **Weak Leibniz estimates.** Here we collect some results about Leibniz-type estimates which are estimates of the product of two symbols. We will use the space $\dot{L}^\infty_s(\widehat{G})$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ defined in Section 4.3. It will be convenient to modify slightly our previous notation for the homogeneous Sobolev spaces

$$\dot{L}^2_s(\widehat{G}) := \dot{H}^s(\widehat{G}) \text{ for any } s \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Proposition 4.16. *For any $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $p = 2, \infty$, there exists $C = C_{p,s}$ such that for any $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma$*

$$\|\sigma_1\sigma_2\|_{\dot{L}^p_s(\widehat{G})} \leq C \sum_{s_1+s_2=s} \|\sigma_1\|_{\dot{L}^\infty_{s_1}(\widehat{G})} \|\sigma_2\|_{\dot{L}^p_{s_2}(\widehat{G})},$$

in the sense that if the right-hand side is finite then the left-hand side is finite and the inequality holds.

Proof. Using the Leibniz-type formula (3.3), we obtain easily with $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi)}$ or $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_\tau(\sigma_1\sigma_2)(\pi)\| &\leq \|\Delta_\tau(\sigma_1)(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi)} \|\sigma_2(\mathrm{I}_\tau \otimes \pi)\| + \|\sigma_1(\tau \otimes \pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi)} \|\Delta_\tau \sigma_2(\pi)\| \\ &\leq \|\sigma_1\|_{\dot{L}^\infty_1(\widehat{G})} \|\sigma_2(\mathrm{I}_\tau \otimes \pi)\| + \|\sigma_1\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} \|\Delta_\tau \sigma_2(\pi)\|.\end{aligned}$$

This implies for $p = 2$ or ∞

$$\|\sigma_1\sigma_2\|_{\dot{L}^p_1(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \|\sigma_1\|_{\dot{L}^\infty_1(\widehat{G})} \|\sigma_2\|_{L^p(\widehat{G})} + \|\sigma_1\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} \|\sigma_2\|_{\dot{L}^p_1(\widehat{G})}.$$

This shows the case $s = 1$. The cases $s > 1$ are proved in a similar way. □

We open a small parenthesis about some different weak Leibniz estimates which can be obtained using the isometry of $\dot{H}^s$, modulo the kernel of its norm, with $L^2(q_2^s(x)dx) = L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)$ and the following lemma. However this requires to know that the symbols are the Fourier transform of e.g. integrable functions:

Lemma 4.17. *Let $s > 0$. Then there exists $C_s > 0$ such that for any two locally integrable functions $f_1, f_2 : G \to \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\|f_1 * f_2\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)} \leq C_s \left(\|f_1\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)} \|f_2\|_{L^1(G)} + \|f_1\|_{L^2(G)} \|f_2\|_{L^1(|x|^s dx)} \right),$$

and

$$\|f_1 * f_2\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)} \leq C_s \left(\|f_1\|_{L^1(G)} \|f_2\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)} + \|f_1\|_{L^1(|x|^s dx)} \|f_2\|_{L^2(G)} \right).$$

Proof. Using the triangle inequality for $|\cdot|$ and the estimate

$$\forall s>0 \qquad \exists C=C_s>0 \qquad \forall a,b\geq 0 \qquad (a+b)^s\leq C(a^s+b^s),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_1*f_2\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)}&\leq \||\cdot|^s(|f_1|*|f_2|)\|_{L^2(G)}\\ &\leq C\left(\|(|\cdot|^s|f_1|)*|f_2|\|_{L^2(G)}+\||f_1|*(|\cdot|^s|f_2|)\|_{L^2(G)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and we conclude with Young's convolution inequalities. □

In the proof of Lemma 4.12, see Section 4.5, we will need to estimate the Sobolev norm of k-product of the same symbol and obtain an estimate with a precise dependence in k. We will use the following technical lemma:

Lemma 4.18. *Let $\sigma\in\Sigma$ be such that for any $\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)$ and any $\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$, $\sigma(\tau\otimes\pi)$ commutes with $\sigma(\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi)$. Let $k\in\mathbb{N}$.*

(1) *For any $\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)$ and any $\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$, we have*

$$\Delta_\tau\sigma^k(\pi)=\Delta_\tau\sigma(\pi)\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\sigma^j(\tau\otimes\pi)\sigma^{k-1-j}(\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi).$$

(2) *For $p=2$ or ∞, we have:*

$$\|\sigma^k\|_{\dot L^p_1(\widehat G)}\leq\|\sigma\|_{\dot L^p_1(\widehat G)}k\|\sigma\|^{k-1}_{\dot L^\infty(\widehat G)}.$$

(3) *Then for $p=2$ or ∞, the norm $\|\sigma^k\|_{\dot L^p_s(\widehat G)}$ is bounded up to a constant of $s=2,3\dots$ by*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma\|_{\dot L^p_s(\widehat G)}k\|\sigma\|^{k-1}_{\dot L^\infty(\widehat G)}&+\|\sigma\|_{\dot L^p_{s-1}(\widehat G)}\sum_{\substack{s_1+s_2=1\\ j=0,\dots,k-1}}\|\sigma^j\|_{\dot L^\infty_{s_1}(\widehat G)}\|\sigma^{k-1-j}\|_{\dot L^\infty_{s_2}(\widehat G)}+\dots\\ &+\|\sigma\|_{\dot L^p_{s-\ell}(\widehat G)}\sum_{\substack{s_1+s_2=\ell\\ j=0,\dots,k-1}}\|\sigma^j\|_{\dot L^\infty_{s_1}(\widehat G)}\|\sigma^{k-1-j}\|_{\dot L^\infty_{s_2}(\widehat G)}+\dots\\ &+\|\sigma\|_{\dot L^p_1(\widehat G)}\sum_{\substack{s_1+s_2=s-1\\ j=0,\dots,k-1}}\|\sigma^j\|_{\dot L^\infty_{s_1}(\widehat G)}\|\sigma^{k-1-j}\|_{\dot L^\infty_{s_2}(\widehat G)}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)$ and $\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(G)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\tau\sigma^k(\pi)&=\sigma^k(\tau\otimes\pi)-\sigma^k(\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi)\\ &=(\sigma(\tau\otimes\pi)-\sigma(\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi))\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\sigma^j(\tau\otimes\pi)\sigma^{k-1-j}(\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi),\end{aligned}$$

and this yields Part (1).

In the formula of Part (1), applying the norm $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{HS(\mathcal{H}_\tau\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)}$ or $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)}$, we obtain:

$$\|\Delta_\tau\sigma^k(\pi)\| \leq \|\Delta_\tau\sigma(\pi)\| \, \|\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\sigma^j(\tau\otimes\pi)\sigma^{k-1-j}(\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)}$$

and we easily check that

$$\|\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\sigma^j(\tau\otimes\pi)\sigma^{k-1-j}(\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\|\sigma(\tau\otimes\pi)\|^j_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\tau\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)}\|\sigma(\pi)\|^{k-1-j}_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)}$$

is bounded by $k\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}$. This yields Part (2).

Using Part (1) and the Leibniz type formula (3.3) and proceeding as in Part (2) and in the proof of Proposition 4.16, Part (3) follows. □

Remark 4.19. The hypotheses on $\sigma \in \Sigma$ in Lemma 4.18, namely that $\sigma(\tau\otimes\pi)$ commutes with $\sigma(\mathrm{I}_\tau\otimes\pi)$ for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$ and any $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(G)$, are satisfied when σ is central but also when σ is a function of the group Fourier transform of a left-invariant sub-Laplacian on G. In any case, they are satisfied when σ is a function of the group Fourier transform of the Laplace-beltrami operator on G.

4.5. **Proof of Lemma 4.12.** First let us see that Lemma 4.12 is implied by the following property: for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p = 2, \infty$, there exists $C = C_{s,p} > 0$ such that

$$\forall t \in (0,1), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \qquad \|e^{ike^{-t\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}}\|_{\dot{L}^p_s(\widehat{G})} \leq C\sqrt{t}^{s-\frac{n}{p}}k^s. \tag{4.3}$$

By convention, $1/p = 0$ if $p = \infty$. The spaces $\dot{L}^p_s$ have been defined in Section 4.3.

The case $p = 2$ will imply Lemma 4.12 for $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By interpolation (see Proposition 4.8 (4)), this will imply the cases $s \geq 1$. The case $k = 0$ in Lemma 4.12 is trivial, see Example 3.3. The case $k < 0$ follows from the case $k > 0$ by complex conjugation.

Hence establishing (4.3) will indeed imply Lemma 4.12.

We observe that the symbol $\sigma = e^{ie^{-t\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 4.18, see Remark 4.19. Using Part (3) of this lemma and assuming that the estimates in (4.3) hold for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, k_0$, we obtain:

$$\|e^{i(k_0+1)e^{-t\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}}\|_{\dot{L}^p_s(\widehat{G})} \leq C\sqrt{t}^{s-\frac{n}{p}}S,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S &:= \sum_{\ell=0}^{s-1} \sum_{s_1+s_2=\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{k_0-1} j^{s_1}(k_0-1-j)^{s_2} \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{s-1} \sum_{s_1+s_2=\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{k_0-1} k_0^{\ell+1} \int_{j/k_0}^{(j+1)/k_0} x^{s_1}(1-x)^{s_2}dx \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\substack{s_1,s_2\in\mathbb{N}_0 \\ s_1+s_2\leq s-1}} \int_0^1 x^{s_1}(1-x)^{s_2}dx \right) \sum_{\ell=0}^{s-1} k_0^{\ell+1} \ \lesssim_s k_0^s; \end{aligned}$$

this is the same estimate in (4.3) for k_0+1. This will show (4.3) once we have established this estimate for $k=1$; this is what we now do. We want to estimate

$$\|e^{ie^{-t\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}}\|_{\dot{L}^p_s(\widehat{G})} = \|\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^\ell}{\ell!} e^{-t\ell\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}\|_{\dot{L}^p_s(\widehat{G})} \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell!} \|e^{-t\ell\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}\|_{\dot{L}^p_s(\widehat{G})}.$$

By Proposition 4.8 Part (1) and Lemma 4.14, we have

$$\|e^{-t\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \asymp \|p_t\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|e^{-t\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}\|_{\dot{L}^\infty_s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \|p_t\|_{L^1(|x|^s dx)},$$

so that the estimates on the heat kernel $p_t = e^{-t\mathcal{L}}\delta_0$ given in Theorem 2.12 yields

$$\exists C = C_s \quad \forall t>0,\ p=2,\infty \qquad \|e^{-t\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}\|_{\dot{L}^\infty_s(\widehat{G})} \leq C_s \sqrt{t}^{-\frac{n}{p}} \min(1, \sqrt{t}^s),$$

with the convention that $\frac{n}{p}=0$ if $p=\infty$. We use these estimates in

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{ie^{-t\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}}\|_{\dot{L}^p_s(\widehat{G})} &\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell!} \|e^{-t\ell\widehat{\mathcal{L}}}\|_{\dot{L}^p_s(\widehat{G})} = \sum_{\ell: t\ell\leq 1} + \sum_{\ell: t\ell>1} \lesssim \sum_{\ell: t\ell\leq 1} \frac{1}{\ell!} (t\ell)^{\frac{1}{2}(s-\frac{n}{p})} + \sum_{\ell: t\ell>1} \frac{1}{\ell!} (t\ell)^{-\frac{n}{2p}} \\ &\lesssim C_1 t^{\frac{1}{2}(s-\frac{n}{p})} + t^{-\frac{n}{2p}} \sum_{\ell: t\ell>1} \frac{1}{\ell!}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 is the finite constant $\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell!} \ell^{\frac{1}{2}(s-\frac{n}{p})}$. The last term above is a sum over $\ell > t^{-1}$ which can be viewed as the Taylor remainder of the exponential function on $\mathbb{R}$ between 0 and 1 with order $[t^{-1}]$. Therefore it is bounded by $e^1/[t^{-1}]! \lesssim t^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{t}}$ by the Stirling formula. This implies (4.3) for $k=1$.

This concludes the proofs of (4.3) for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, thus of Lemma 4.12, hence of Proposition 4.10.

5. Multiplier theorems

In this section we state and prove our multiplier theorems. In Section 5.1, we present the classical results on $\mathbb{R}^n$ under a certain form that leads to defining the space Σ_s of symbols of compact Lie groups in Section 5.2. The membership in Σ_s is a 'Hörmander condition' and we show in Section 5.3 that this implies L^p-boundedness of the corresponding multiplier

operator. We also discuss there the sharpness of the result and a Mihlin-type theorem. The proof of the Hörmander version is given in Section 5.4. A Marcinkiewicz-type theorem is discussed in the last section of this paper, recovering as a particular case the historical theorem given at the beginning of the introduction.

5.1. **Multiplier theorems on $\mathbb{R}^n$.** The Mihlin multiplier theorem [13] states that if a function σ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\{0\}$ has at least $[n/2]+1$ continuous derivatives that satisfy

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n,\ |\alpha| \leq [n/2]+1, \qquad |\partial^\alpha \sigma(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha |\xi|^{-|\alpha|}, \tag{5.1}$$

(where $[t]$ is the integer part of t), then the Fourier multiplier operator $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ associated with σ, initially defined on Schwartz functions via

$$\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)\phi := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{\sigma \widehat{\phi}\}, \tag{5.2}$$

(where $\mathcal{F}\phi = \widehat{\phi}$ denotes the Euclidean Fourier transform) admits a bounded extension on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$; for $p = 1$, $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ is bounded from L^1 to weak-L^1. Hörmander improved the Mihlin multiplier theorem by showing [8] that a sufficient condition for $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ to be bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the membership of σ locally uniformly in a Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $s > n/2$, that is, requiring that the quantity

$$\|\sigma\|_{l.u.H^s(\mathbb{R}^n),\eta} := \sup_{r>0} \|\sigma(r\,\cdot)\ \eta\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{5.3}$$

is finite for some non-zero smooth function $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$. Note that the proof only requires a supremum over $r = 2^{j/2}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is a routine exercise to check that the quantity in (5.3) is finite independently of a choice of η, in the sense that if $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ are non-zero, then $\|\sigma\|_{l.u.H^s(\mathbb{R}^n),\eta_1} \asymp \|\sigma\|_{l.u.H^s(\mathbb{R}^n),\eta_2}$. The Sobolev embeddings imply that for $s > n/2$, if the quantity in (5.3) is finite then σ is continuous and bounded with

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\sigma| \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{l.u.H^s(\mathbb{R}^n),\eta}. \tag{5.4}$$

Furthermore

$$s > n/2 \implies \|\sigma\|_{l.u.H^s(\mathbb{R}^n),\eta} \asymp \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\sigma| + \sup_{r>0} r^{s-n/2} \|\sigma\ \eta(r^{-1}\cdot)\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{5.5}$$

having denoted $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the homogeneous Sobolev space on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Hörmander's multipliers theorem is sharp in the range of s, even when restricted to radial multipliers. In fact the sharpness may be obtained by considering the imaginary power $\mathrm{Op}(|\xi|^{2i\alpha}) = \Delta^{i\alpha}$ of the Laplace operator $\Delta = -\partial_1^2 - \ldots - \partial_n^2$, see [18, p.1746] and [19, p.51-52].

The Hörmander condition with s near enough $n/2$ implies Mihlin's condition in (5.1) since we check easily

$$s \in \mathbb{N} \implies \|\sigma\|_{l.u.H^s(\mathbb{R}^n),\eta} \lesssim \max_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha|\leq s} \sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^\alpha |\partial^\alpha \sigma(\xi)|. \tag{5.6}$$

In the next section, we will define a Hörmander condition thanks to the equivalence (5.5). The main issue is to define a relevant cut-off function η that can be dilated. On $\mathbb{R}^n$, we can restrict ourselves to radial cut-offs, i.e. $\eta = \eta_1(|\cdot|^2)$, which can be viewed as the Fourier transform of the spectral multiplier $\eta_1(\Delta)$ of the Laplace operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$. An analogue cut-off function as spectral multiplier in $\mathcal{L}$ can be defined in our context; it can also be dilated.

5.2. **The space Σ_s.** The previous section leads us to define the space Σ_s of symbol satisfying Hörmander's condition in the following way:

Definition 5.1. Let $s > n/2$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$. We denote by $\Sigma_{s,\eta}$ the space of bounded symbols $\sigma \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ such that the following quantity is finite:

$$\sup_{r>0} r^{s-\frac{n}{2}} \|\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})}.$$

We then set

$$\|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_s,\eta} := \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} + \sup_{r>0} r^{s-\frac{n}{2}} \|\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})}.$$

In dealing with these spaces we will often use the fact that in the supremum above we may assume r large:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $s > n/2$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$. For any $r_0 > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ depending only on r_0, s, η and the structure of the group G such that we have for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$:*

$$\|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_s,\eta} \leq C \left(\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} + \sup_{r>r_0} r^{s-\frac{n}{2}} \|\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \right),$$

in the sense that if the right-hand side is finite then the left-hand side is finite and the inequality holds.

Proof. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ with $\operatorname{supp}\eta \subset (a_0,b_0) \subset (0,\infty)$. By Proposition 4.8 Part (2), $\|\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \|\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^{[s]}(\widehat{G})}$, and we have

$$\|\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|^2_{\dot{H}^{[s]}(\widehat{G})} = \sum_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_{[s]}\in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \|\Delta_{\tau_1}\dots\Delta_{\tau_{[s]}}\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})(\pi)\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{[s]}}\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)}.$$

By Lemma 2.11 Part (4), if $r \leq r_0$ the summation over $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ is in fact over a finite number of $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ since they will satisfy $\lambda_\pi < C + r_0^2 b_0$. Using

$$\|\Delta_{\tau_1}\dots\Delta_{\tau_{[s]}}\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})(\pi)\|_{HS(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{[s]}}\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \leq C_{\pi,\tau_1,\dots,\tau_{[s]}} \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})},$$

we obtain

$$r \leq r_0 \Longrightarrow \|\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^{[s]}(\widehat{G})} \leq C'_{[s],G,C,a_0,r_0} \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}.$$

The statement follows. □

The definition of $\Sigma_{s,\eta}$ is independent of any (non trivial) η and $\|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_s,\eta} \asymp \|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_\zeta,\zeta}$ for any two non-trivial $\eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ since we have:

Lemma 5.3. *Let $s > n/2$ and let $\eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$. We assume $\eta \not\equiv 0$. Then we have*

$$\|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_s,\zeta} \leq C\|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_s,\eta},$$

where $C = C_{s,G,\eta,\zeta} > 0$ is a constant independent of $\sigma \in \Sigma$, in the sense that if the left-hand side is finite, then the right-hand side is finite and the inequality holds.

Proof. Let η and ζ as in the statement. We may assume η real valued (otherwise we consider separately its real and imaginary parts). Let $c_o > 0$ such that $2^{c_o} I$ intersects I where I is an open interval inside the support of η. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$\eta_j(\lambda) = \eta(2^{-c_o j}\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha(\lambda) := \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \eta_j^2(\lambda).$$

We check easily that $\alpha \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, 0]$ and that on $(0,\infty)$, α is a smooth positive function which does not vanish. Furthermore

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R},\ j \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \alpha(2^{jc_o}\lambda) = \alpha(\lambda)\,, \qquad \text{thus} \qquad \forall \lambda > 0 \quad \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\eta_j^2}{\alpha}(\lambda) = 1\,.$$

Hence for any $\pi \in \widehat{G}\backslash\{1_{\widehat{G}}\}$, we have $\mathrm{I}_{\mathcal{H}_\pi} = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\eta_j^2}{\alpha}(r^{-2}\pi(\mathcal{L}))$ in $\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$. Inserting the sum, we obtain

$$\|\sigma\ \zeta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \|\sigma\ (\frac{\eta_j^2}{\alpha}\zeta)(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})}.$$

In fact the sum is over j such that $2^{jc_o}\text{supp}\ \eta$ and supp ζ have a non-empty intersection, so this summation is finite and independent of r and σ. Proposition 4.8 Part (1) and Lemma 4.17 yield

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma\ (\frac{\eta_j^2}{\alpha}\zeta)(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim\ & \|\sigma\eta_j(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})}\|(\frac{\eta_j}{\alpha}\zeta)(r^{-2}\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}\|_{L^1(G)} \\ & + \|\sigma\eta_j(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})}\|(\frac{\eta_j}{\alpha}\zeta)(r^{-2}\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}\|_{L^1(|x|^s dx)}.\end{aligned}$$

By the Peter Weyl theorem,

$$\|\sigma\ \eta_j(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})} \leq \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}\|\eta_j(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}(2^j r^2)^{\frac{n}{4}}. \tag{5.7}$$

by Theorem 2.12 Part (3), which also provides estimates for the L^1-norms in $(\frac{\eta_j}{\alpha}\zeta)(r^{-2}\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}$. We obtain

$$\|\sigma\ \zeta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_s,\eta} r^{-(s-\frac{n}{2})}.$$

This implies $\|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_s,\zeta} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_s,\eta}$ and concludes the proof. □

Hence we may write

$$\Sigma_s := \Sigma_{s,\eta} \quad \text{for any non-trivial } \eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty).$$

A first criteria of membership of Σ_s independent of a choice of a $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ is given by the following property:

Lemma 5.4. *For any $0 < a_0 < b_0$, $s > n/2$ and any $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$, there exists $C = C_{s,a_0,b_0,\eta} > 0$ such that we have for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$:*

$$\|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_s,\eta} \leq C \left(\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} + \sup_{r>0} r^{s-\frac{n}{2}} \|\sigma 1_{[r^2a_0,r^2b_0]}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \right).$$

Proof. First let us fix $0 < a_0 < b_0$ and consider $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ with $\eta \equiv 1$ on $[a_0, b_0]$. Then $\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) = \sigma_{r,a_0,b_0}\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})$ and we have by Lemma 4.17

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} &= \|\sigma_{r,a_0,b_0}\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \\ &\lesssim \|\sigma_{r,a_0,b_0}\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \|\eta(r^{-2}\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}\|_{L^1(G)} + \|\sigma_{r,a_0,b_0}\|_{\dot{H}^0(\widehat{G})} \|\eta(r^{-2}\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}\|_{L^1(|x|^s dx)}.\end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 2.12 Part (3) to estimate the L^1-norms, and proceeding as in (5.7) for the $\dot{H}^0(\widehat{G}) = L^2(\widehat{G})$-norm, we obtain:

$$\|\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \|\sigma_{r,a_0,b_0}\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} r^{-s+\frac{n}{2}}.$$

This together with Lemma 5.3 implies the statement. □

A criteria for membership in Σ_s analogue to (5.6) is the following:

Lemma 5.5. *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$. There exists a constant $C = C_{s,G,\eta} > 0$ such that for any multiplier σ we have for any $r > 0$*

$$\|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_s,\eta} \leq C \max_{\substack{s'=0,\dots,s \\ \tau_1,\dots,\tau_{s'} \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)}} \sup_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} (1+\lambda_\pi)^{\frac{s'}{2}} \|\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_{s'}} \sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\dots\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{s'}}\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)},$$

in the sense that if the left-hand side is finite then $\eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\sigma \in \dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})$ is finite for any $r > 0$ and the inequality holds.

In the inequality above, we understand $\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_{s'}}$ for $s' = 0$ as I and the supremum for $s' = 0$ as $\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}$.

Proof. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s > n/2$. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$. By Lemmata 5.2 and 5.3, we may assume $\operatorname{supp} \eta = [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$.

The Leibniz formula (3.3) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_s} \|\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s}(\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}}))(\pi)\|_{HS(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_s}\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \\ &\lesssim_s \sum_{\substack{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_s \\ s'=0,\dots,s}} \|\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_{s'}} \sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{s'}}\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)} \|\Delta_{\tau_{s'}} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s} \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})(\pi)\|_{HS(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{s'}}\otimes\dots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_s}\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi)}\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.11 Part (4), the supremum $C_0 := \sup|\lambda_\rho - \lambda_\pi|$ over $\pi, \rho \in \widehat{G}$ and $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{s'} \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$, $s' = 1, \dots, s$, such that $\rho \subset \tau_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \tau_{s'} \otimes \pi$ is finite. Hence we have

$$\Delta_{\tau_{s'}} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s} \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})(\pi) = 0 \text{ when } \lambda_\pi \notin [\frac{r^2}{2} - C_0, 2r^2 + C_0].$$

We fix $r_0 > 0$ such that $1 < \frac{r^2}{2} - C_0 < 2r^2 + C_0$ for all $r > r_0$. Assuming that

$$M_{s'} := \sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} (1+\lambda_\pi)^{\frac{s'}{2}} \|\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_{s'}} \sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1} \otimes \dots \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{s'}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi)},$$

is finite for $s' = 0, \dots, s$, we have for every $r > r_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_s} \|\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s} (\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}}))(\pi)\|_{HS(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\tau_s} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi)} \\ &\lesssim_s \sum_{\substack{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_s \\ s'=0,\dots,s}} M_{s'} r^{s'} \|\Delta_{\tau_{s'}} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s} \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})(\pi)\|_{HS(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{s'}} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\tau_s} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|^2_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} &= \sum_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_s} \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} d_\pi \|\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_s} (\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}}))(\pi)\|^2_{HS(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\tau_s} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi)} \\ &\lesssim_s \max_{s'=0,\dots,s} M^2_{s'} r^{2s'} \|\eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|^2_{\dot{H}^{s-s'}(\widehat{G})}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 2.12 Part (3), we have

$$\|\eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^{s-s'}(\widehat{G})} = \|\eta(r^{-2}\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}\|_{L^2(q_2(x)^{s-s'}dx)} \asymp \|\eta(r^{-2}\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}\|_{L^2(|x|^{2(s-s')}dx)} \lesssim r^{s-s'-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

We have obtained for any $r > r_0$

$$\|\sigma\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim_s \max_{s'=0,\dots,s} M_{s'} r^{s'} r^{s-s'-\frac{n}{2}} = \max_{s'=0,\dots,s} M_{s'} r^{s-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

By Lemma 5.2, this concludes the proof. □

The spectral multiplier in the Laplace-Beltrami operator provides examples of multipliers in Σ_s:

Proposition 5.6. *Let G be a compact Lie group of dimension n. Let $s' > s > \frac{n}{2}$. For every f locally uniformly in $H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})$, the spectral multiplier $f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})$ is in Σ_s. Moreover for every non-trivial $\eta, \eta_1 \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of f such that*

$$\|f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\Sigma_s,\eta} \leq C \|f\|_{l.u.H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}),\eta_1}.$$

The norm $\|\cdot\|_{l.u.H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}),\eta}$ was defined via (5.3) on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. The properties of the functional calculus and (5.4) imply

$$\|f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|f\|_{l.u.H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}),\eta}.$$

Let us apply Proposition 4.10 with a function $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ to the function $\lambda \mapsto f(\lambda)\eta(r^{-2}\lambda)$:

$$\|f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \sup_{r_1 > 0} \|f(r_1\ \cdot)\eta(r^{-2}r_1\ \cdot)\eta_1\|_{H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Since the mapping $\psi \mapsto \psi\chi$ is continuous on $H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})$ for any function $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, we obtain easily

$$\sup_{r>0} \|f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\ \eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \sup_{r,r_1>0} \|f(r_1\ \cdot)\eta(r^{-2}r_1\ \cdot)\eta_1\|_{H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \sup_{r>0} \|f(r_1\ \cdot)\eta_1\|_{H^{s'}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\|f(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\Sigma_s,\eta} \leq C\|f\|_{l.u.H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}),\eta_1}.$$

We conclude with the equivalence of two norms $\|\cdot\|_{l.u.H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}),\eta}$ for two non trivial functions $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$. □

5.3. **Multiplier theorems on G.** The main result of the paper are the analogues of both Mihlin and Hörmander-type conditions for the Fourier multiplier theorem.

We start with the analogue of the Hörmander-type condition. This will use the space Σ_s defined in Section 5.2:

Theorem 5.7 (Hormander-type multiplier theorem)**.** *Let G be a compact Lie group of dimension n. If the multiplier $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ is in Σ_s for some $s > n/2$ then the Fourier multiplier operator $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ is bounded on $L^p(G)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$, and $L^1 - L^{1,\infty}$ for $p = 1$. Furthermore, for $p \in (1,\infty)$,*

$$\|\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)\|_{\mathscr{L}(L^p(G))} \leq C_{\eta,p,G}\|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_{s,\eta}}$$

for some non-zero $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$, where the constant $C_{\eta,p,G}$ depends on η, p and the structure of G but not on σ. We have a similar bound for $p = 1$.

As in the case of Fourier multipliers on $\mathbb{R}^n$, Theorem 5.7 is sharp in s for Fourier multipliers even when restricted to spectral multipliers in $\mathcal{L}$. Indeed by Theorem 5.7 and Proposition 5.6 we have

$$\forall s' > n/2,\ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \|\mathcal{L}^{i\alpha}\|_{\mathscr{L}(L^1(G),L^{1,\infty}(G))} \lesssim_{s',G} \|\lambda^{i\alpha}\|_{l.u.H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}),\eta} \lesssim_{s',G} (1+|\alpha|)^{s'}$$

whereas the arguments of Sikora and Wright [18, Theorem 1] show that

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \|\mathcal{L}^{i\alpha}\|_{\mathscr{L}(L^1(G),L^{1,\infty}(G))} \gtrsim_G (1+|\alpha|)^{n/2}.$$

Note that Theorem 5.7 and Proposition 5.6 yield the Hörmander theorem for spectral multipliers of a Laplace-beltrami $\mathcal{L}$ on G, but this result is a particular case of the celebrated result of Seeger and Sogge valid for a much larger class of operators on any compact manifold [17].

We now give the Mihlin-type Fourier multiplier theorem which as in the case of $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be obtained from the Hörmander version: indeed Theorem 5.8 follows readily from Theorem 5.7 together with Lemma 5.5:

Theorem 5.8 (Mihlin-type multiplier theorem)**.** *Let G be a compact Lie group of dimension n. Let $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\} \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ be a bounded multiplier. If there exist constants $M_{s'} \geq 0$ for $s' = 1, \dots, [n/2]+1$ such that*

$$\forall \pi \in \widehat{G}, \quad \forall \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{s'} \in \mathrm{Fund}(G) \qquad \|\Delta_{\tau_1} \dots \Delta_{\tau_{s'}} \sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1} \otimes \dots \mathcal{H}_{\tau_{s'}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi)} \leq M_{s'} (1+\lambda_\pi)^{-\frac{s'}{2}},$$

then the Fourier multiplier operator $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ is bounded on $L^p(G)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$, and $L^1 - L^{1,\infty}$ for $p = 1$. Furthermore, for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\|\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)\|_{\mathscr{L}(L^p(G))} \leq C_{p,G} \left(\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} + \max_{s'=1,\dots,s} M_{s'} \right).$$

where the constant $C_{p,G}$ depends on p and the structure of G but not on σ. We have a similar bound for $p = 1$.

Note that Theorem 5.8 is sharper than the main result in [16] of Ruzhansky and Wirth who obtain a similar result but with s' being an even integer strictly greater than $n/2$. Furthermore the results of [16] applied to the case of the torus $\mathbb{T}$ do not recover the original 1939 result of Marcinkiewicz (Theorem 1.1), whereas it will be the case for the Marcinkiewicz type theorem proved in Section 5.5, as a consequence of (the proof of) Theorem 5.7.

5.4. **Proof of Theorem 5.7.** Again as in the case of $\mathbb{R}^n$, to show the Hörmander version of our Fourier multiplier theorem (Theorem 5.7), it suffices to prove the case '$r = 2^{j/2}$', that is:

Proposition 5.9. *Let G be a compact Lie group of dimension n. Let $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\} \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ be a bounded multiplier. If there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ satisfying*

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \|\sigma \ \eta(2^{-j}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \leq C_0 2^{\frac{j}{2}(\frac{n}{2}-s)},$$

for some $s > n/2$ and some non-zero $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$, then the Fourier multiplier operator $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ is bounded on $L^p(G)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$, and $L^1 - L^{1,\infty}$ for $p = 1$. Furthermore, for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\|\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)\|_{\mathscr{L}(L^p(G))} \leq C_{p,G} \left(\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} + C_0 \right).$$

where the constant $C_{p,G}$ depends on p and the structure of G but not on σ. We have a similar bound for $p = 1$.

In this section, we prove Proposition 5.9, thereby proving the main result of this paper, i.e. Theorem 5.7. The method is classical, and we allow ourselves to sketch the ideas rather than dwelling on technical details.

We choose the function $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ yielding a dyadic decomposition, that is, satisfying

$$\mathrm{supp}\, \eta \subset (1, 2) \quad \text{and} \quad \forall \lambda > 0 \qquad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta(2^{-j}\lambda) = 1.$$

This is always possible. We set $\eta_j(\lambda) := \eta(2^{-j}\lambda)$. Note that since the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is a discrete subset of $[0,\infty)$ with no accumulation point, there exists j_0 depending on η and G, such that $\eta_j(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) = 0$ for all $j < j_0$.

Note that if $\sigma = \delta_{\pi=1_{\widehat{G}}}$ in the sense that $\sigma(\pi) = 0$ unless $\pi = 1_{\widehat{G}}$ and $\sigma(1_{\widehat{G}}) = 1$, then the inversion formula yields $\mathrm{Op}(\delta_{\pi=1_{\widehat{G}}})\phi \equiv \int_G \phi$ for any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ so that $\|\mathrm{Op}(\delta_{\pi=1_{\widehat{G}}})\|_{\mathscr{L}(L^p(G))} \leq 1$ for any $p \in [1,\infty)$. Therefore it suffices to prove Proposition 5.9 for $\sigma \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ satisfying $\sigma(1_{\widehat{G}}) = 0$.

Let $\sigma \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ such that $\sigma(1_{\widehat{G}}) = 0$. We assume that there exist $s > n/2$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\forall j \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \|\sigma_j\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \leq C_0 2^{-\frac{j}{2}(s-\frac{n}{2})},$$

where $\sigma_j := \sigma\, \eta_j(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})$. The sum $\sum_j \mathrm{Op}(\sigma_j)$ converges to $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ in the strong operator topology of $\mathscr{L}(L^2(G))$.

Let $\kappa, \kappa_j \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$ be such that $\sigma = \widehat{\kappa}$ and $\sigma_j = \widehat{\kappa}_j$. The sum $\sum_j \kappa_j$ converges to κ in $\mathcal{D}'(G)$. Since $\kappa_j \in L^2_f(\widehat{G})$ is smooth, by hypothesis and Proposition 4.8 Part (1), $\kappa_j \in L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)$ with

$$\|\kappa_j\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)} \asymp \|\sigma_j\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \leq C_0 2^{\frac{j}{2}(\frac{n}{2}-s)}. \tag{5.8}$$

So $\sum_j \|\kappa_j\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)} < \infty$ and κ coincides with a locally integrable function on $G\backslash\{e_G\}$ in $L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)$, see Proposition 4.8 Part (1). The sum $\sum_j \kappa_j$ converges to $\kappa \in L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)$ in $L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)$.

By the fundamental theorem of singular integral [2, Ch. III], it suffices to show that

$$\int_{|y^{-1}y'| \lesssim |y^{-1}x|} |\kappa(y^{-1}x) - \kappa(y^{-1}x)|dx$$

is finite independently of $y \neq y' \in G$, and similarly for $\kappa^* : x \mapsto \bar{\kappa}(z^{-1})$. We will give the proof for κ only, the proof for κ^* being similar.

It suffices to show that the sum

$$\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} I_j(h), \quad \text{where} \quad I_j(h) := \int_{|h| \lesssim |z|} |\kappa_j(z) - \kappa_j(hz)|dz,$$

is bounded independently of $h \in G\backslash\{e_G\}$.

We see that

$$I_j(h) \leq 2 \int_{|h| \lesssim |z|} |\kappa_j(z)|dz \leq 2\|\kappa_j\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)} \|1_{|h| \lesssim |z|}\|_{L^2(|z|^{-2s}dz)},$$

by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. We compute easily

$$\|1_{|h| \lesssim |z|}\|^2_{L^2(|z|^{-2s}dz)} \asymp \int_{r \sim |h|}^1 r^{-2s+n-1}dr \asymp 1 + |h|^{-2s+n}.$$

Using (5.8), we obtain:

$$I_j(h) \lesssim C_0 2^{-\frac{j}{2}(s-\frac{n}{2})}(1+|h|^{-s+\frac{n}{2}}), \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j:|h|^{-1}\lesssim 2^{j/2}} \int_{|h|\lesssim|z|} |\kappa_j(z)-\kappa_j(hz)|dz \lesssim C_0,$$

independently of h.

Hence the main problem is the sum for $j \geq j_0$ such that $2^{j/2} \lesssim |h|^{-1}$. We may also assume that $|h|$ is small, and thus that h is in a fixed chart of e_G provided by the exponential mapping. We have

$$I_j(h) \leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} I_{j,\ell}(h), \quad \text{where} \quad I_{j,\ell}(h) := \int_{|z|\asymp 2^{-\ell}} |\kappa_j(z)-\kappa_j(hz)|dz,$$

and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is such that $|h| \asymp 2^{-m}$.

The estimate for the Taylor reminder of order 1 then yields:

$$I_{j,\ell}(h) \lesssim |h| \int_{|z|\asymp 2^{-\ell}} |\nabla\kappa_j(z)|dz \quad \text{if } \ell \lesssim m.$$

The Cauchy-Schwartz inequality implies

$$\int_{|z|\asymp 2^{-\ell}} |\nabla\kappa_j(z)|dz \leq \|\nabla\kappa_j\|_{L^2(G)} \|1_{|z|\asymp 2^{-\ell}}\|_{L^2(G)}$$

We compute $\|1_{|z|\asymp 2^{-\ell}}\|_{L^2(G)} \asymp 2^{-\ell n/2}$. The functional calculus of $\mathcal{L}$ yields $\|\nabla\kappa_j\|_{L^2(G)} \asymp 2^{j/2}\|\kappa_j\|_{L^2(G)}$ and we have

$$\|\kappa_j\|_{L^2(G)} = \|\sigma_j\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})} \leq \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|\eta_j(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^0(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty} 2^{j\frac{n}{4}}, \tag{5.9}$$

by Proposition 4.9. So we have obtained:

$$I_{j,\ell}(h) \lesssim |h| 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty} 2^{j\frac{n}{4}} 2^{-\ell\frac{n}{2}}$$

and the sum $\sum I_{j,\ell}(h)$ over $j,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $0 \leq \ell \leq m$ and $j_0 \leq j \leq 2\ell$ is finite independently of $|h|$.

To sum over $\ell < j/2$, we go back to

$$\int_{|z|\asymp 2^{-\ell}} |\nabla\kappa_j(z)|dz \leq \||\cdot|^s |\nabla\kappa_j|\|_{L^2(G)} \||\cdot|^{-s} 1_{|z|\asymp 2^{-\ell}}\|_{L^2(G)}.$$

We compute $\||\cdot|^{-s} 1_{|z|\asymp 2^{-\ell}}\|_{L^2(G)} \asymp 2^{\ell(s-n/2)}$. We fix $\tilde{\eta} \in \mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ such that $\tilde{\eta} \equiv 1$ on $\operatorname{supp}\eta$. We set $\tilde{\eta}_j(\lambda) = \tilde{\eta}(2^{-j}\lambda)$. We have $\kappa_j = \tilde{\eta}_j(\mathcal{L})\kappa_j = \kappa_j * \tilde{\eta}_j(\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}$ and Lemma 4.17 yields:

$$\||\cdot|^s |\nabla\kappa_j|\|_{L^2(G)} \lesssim \||\cdot|^s |\kappa_j|\|_{L^2(G)} \|\nabla\tilde{\eta}_j(\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}|\|_{L^1(G)} + \|\kappa_j\|_{L^2(G)} \||\cdot|^s |\nabla\tilde{\eta}_j(\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}|)\|_{L^1(G)}.$$

Using (5.8) and (5.9) for the L^2-norm in κ_j, and Theorem 2.12 Part (3) for the L^1-norm with η yields:

$$\||\cdot|^s |\nabla\kappa_j|\|_{L^2(G)} \lesssim C_0 2^{\frac{j}{2}(\frac{n}{2}-s)} (2^{-j})^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty} 2^{jn/4} (2^{-j})^{\frac{s-1}{2}} = (C_0 + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty}) 2^{\frac{j}{2}(\frac{n}{2}-s+1)}.$$

Hence we have obtained

$$I_{j,\ell}(h) \lesssim |h|(C_0 + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty})2^{\frac{j}{2}(\frac{n}{2}-s+1)}2^{\ell(s-\frac{n}{2})},$$

and the sum $\sum I_{j,\ell}(h)$ over $j,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $0 \leq \ell \leq j/2$ and $j_0 \leq j \leq 2m$ is finite independently of h.

This concludes the proof of Proposition 5.9, and of Theorem 5.7.

5.5. **A Marcinkiewicz type condition.** Our main result Theorem 5.7, that is, the multiplier theorem of Hörmander type, is in term of the space Σ_s and can be viewed as a decay of L^2-weighted norms of the kernel localised in frequency. As a corollary, we obtained a Mihlin version in terms of decay of derivatives of the symbol, see Theorem 5.8. We now present a Marcinkiewicz-type theorem in the sense that the condition is expressed with the partial sum of derivatives being finite.

We will need the following notation: if $s_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we set

$$\|\sigma\|_{\dot{L}^1_{s_0}(\widehat{G})} := \sum_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_{s_0}\in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{s_0}}\otimes\mathcal{H}_\pi} |\Delta_{\tau_1}\dots\Delta_{\tau_{s_0}}\sigma(\pi)|.$$

This quantity may be finite or infinite.

Remark 5.10. Because of the equivalence of matrix norms, we can replace the trace above with any norm of the finite dimensional space of endomorphism in $\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{s_0}}$ valued in the matrix space over $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ equipped with the Schatten norm

$$\|M\|_{S_1(\mathcal{H}_\pi)} := \mathrm{Tr}|M| = \mathrm{Tr}(M^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The constants involved in this equivalence depend on the spaces $\mathcal{H}_\tau$, $\tau \in \mathrm{Fund}(G)$, so on the structure of G, and not on $\pi \in \widehat{G}$. So for instance we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sigma\|_{\dot{L}^1_{s_0}(\widehat{G})} &\asymp \sum_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_{s_0}\in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \|\Delta_{\tau_1}\dots\Delta_{\tau_{s_0}}\sigma(\pi)\|_{\mathscr{L}(\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}\otimes\dots\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\tau_{s_0}},S_1(\mathcal{H}_\pi))}\\ &\asymp \sum_{\tau_1,\dots,\tau_{s_0}\in \mathrm{Fund}(G)} \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \sum_{i_1,j_1,\dots,i_{s_0},j_{s_0}} \|[\Delta_{\tau_1}\dots\Delta_{\tau_{s_0}}\sigma(\pi)]_{i_1,,j_1,\dots,i_{s_0},j_{s_0}}\|_{S_1(\mathcal{H}_\pi)}.\end{aligned}$$

This quantity enables us to obtain the following L^∞-weighted estimates:

Lemma 5.11. *For any $s_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $C = C_{G,s_0} > 0$ such that we have for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$:*

$$\max_{x\in G} |x|^{s_0}|\phi(x)| \leq C\|\widehat{\phi}\|_{\dot{L}^1_{s_0}(\widehat{G})}.$$

Proof of Lemma 5.11. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. The Fourier inversion formula (2.4) and the definition of the difference operators (see Section 3) imply

$$q^{(\tau)}_{i,j}(x)\phi(x) = \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \mathrm{Tr}\left[\Delta_\tau\widehat{\phi}(\pi)\right]_{i,j}, \quad \text{so} \quad |q^{(\tau)}_{i,j}\phi(x)| \leq \sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \mathrm{Tr}\left|\left[\Delta_\tau\widehat{\phi}(\pi)\right]_{i,j}\right|.$$

By Lemma 3.9, we have

$$\max_{x\in G}|x||\phi(x)| \asymp \max_{G}\sqrt{q}_2|\phi| \asymp \sum_{\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)}\sum_{i,j}\max_{x\in G}|q_{i,j}^{(\tau)}(x)\phi(x)|,$$

and the computation above then yields:

$$\max_{x\in G}|x||\phi(x)| \lesssim \sum_{\tau\in\mathrm{Fund}(G)}\sum_{\pi\in\widehat{G}} d_\pi \sum_{i,j}\mathrm{Tr}\left|\left[\Delta_\tau\widehat{\phi}(\pi)\right]_{i,j}\right|$$

By Remark 5.10, this implies the result for $s_0 = 1$. The proof for $s_0 > 1$ is similar, it is left to the reader. □

The L^∞-weighted estimates in Lemma 5.11 easily yields some L^2-weighted estimates of localised kernels, and we obtain:

Corollary 5.12. (1) *For any $\eta\in\mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$, $s>0$ and any $s_0\in\mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant $C = C_{s,G,s,s_0,\eta} > 0$ such that for any $\sigma\in\Sigma$ and $r\geq 1$, we have*

$$\|\sigma\,\eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \leq C\left(\|\sigma\,\eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{L}^1_{s_0}(\widehat{G})}r^{-s+s_0-\frac{n}{2}} + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}r^{\frac{n}{2}-s}\right),$$

in the sense that if $\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}$ and $\|\sigma\|_{\dot{L}^1_{s_0}(\widehat{G})}$ are finite, then the inequality holds.

(2) *If $\sigma\in\Sigma$ is such that $\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}$ and $\|\sigma\|_{\dot{L}^1_{s_0}(\widehat{G})}$ are finite with $s_0\in\mathbb{N}$, $s_0\leq n$, then $\sigma\in\Sigma_s$ for any $s>\frac{n}{2}$. Moreover we have*

$$\|\sigma\|_{\Sigma_{s,\eta}} \leq C\left(\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} + \sup_{r\geq 1}\|\sigma\,\eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{L}^1_{s_0}(\widehat{G})}\right),$$

where $\eta\in\mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$ is a fixed non-trivial function, and $C = C_{s,G,s,s_0,\eta} > 0$ is a constant, both independent of σ.

Proof. Let $\sigma\in\Sigma$ and $\eta\in\mathcal{D}(0,\infty)$. We set $\kappa_r := \mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma\,\eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\in\mathcal{D}(G)$ for any $r>0$. By Proposition 4.8 Part (1),

$$\|\sigma\,\eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \asymp \|\kappa_r\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)} \leq \|\kappa_r 1_{|x|\leq r^{-1}}\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)} + \|\kappa_r 1_{|x|>r^{-1}}\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)}.$$

By Lemma 5.11, we have:

$$\||\cdot|^{s_0}\kappa_r\|_\infty \lesssim \|\sigma\,\eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{L}^1_{s_0}(\widehat{G})},$$

so for any $r\geq 1$

$$\|\kappa_r 1_{|x|\leq r^{-1}}\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)} \lesssim \|\sigma\,\eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{L}^1_{s_0}(\widehat{G})}\sqrt{\int_{|x|\leq r^{-1}}|x|^{2s-2s_0}dx} \lesssim \|\sigma\,\eta(r^{-2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{L}^1_{s_0}(\widehat{G})}r^{-(s-s_0+\frac{n}{2})}.$$

For the second L^2-norm, we have

$$\|\kappa_r 1_{|x|>r^{-1}}\|_{L^2(|x|^{2s}dx)} \leq r^{-s}\|\kappa_r\|_{L^2(G)},$$

and by functional calculus and by Theorem 2.12 Part (3),

$$\|\kappa_r\|_{L^2(G)} \leq \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}\|\eta(r^{-2}\mathcal{L})\delta_{e_G}\|_{L^2(G)} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}r^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Collecting the various estimates proves Part (1).

Part (2) follows easily from Part (1) and the properties of Σ_s, see Section 5.2. □

Corollary 5.12 Part (2) gives a sufficient condition for the membership of the symbol in Σ_s, $s > n/2$, therefore a sufficient condition for L^p-boundedness of the corresponding operator using Hörmander-type hypotheses in Theorem 5.7.

Here we choose to combine Proposition 5.9 with Corollary 5.12 Part (1) to obtain a sufficient condition 'with $r = 2^{j/2}$', which we view as a Marcinkiewicz-type property:

Theorem 5.13 (Marcinkiewicz-type multiplier theorem)**.** *Let G be a compact Lie group of dimension n. If the symbol $\sigma = \{\sigma(\pi), \pi \in \widehat{G}\}$ is such that $\sigma \in L^\infty(\widehat{G})$ and*

$$\exists s_0 \in \mathbb{N}, s_0 \leq n \quad \exists C_0 > 0 \qquad \forall j \geq 0 \qquad \|\sigma\, 1_{[2^j,2^{j+1}]}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{L}^1_{s_0}(\widehat{G})} \leq C_0 2^{\frac{j}{2}(n-s_0)}$$

then the Fourier multiplier operator $\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)$ is bounded on $L^p(G)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$, and $L^1 - L^{1,\infty}$ for $p = 1$. Furthermore, for $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\|\mathrm{Op}(\sigma)\|_{\mathscr{L}(L^p(G))} \leq C_{s_0,p,G} \left(C_0 + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} \right)$$

where the constant $C_{s_0,p,G}$ depends on s_0, p and the structure of G but not on σ. We have a similar bound for $p = 1$.

Proof. We fix $s > n/2$, $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(0, \infty)$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ as in the proof of Proposition 5.9. In particular, $\eta(2^{-j}\widehat{\mathcal{L}}) = 0$ for $j < j_0$. By proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 5.4, we have for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\|\sigma\ \eta(2^{-j}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \|\sigma\ 1_{[2^j,2^{j+1}]}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} + 2^{-\frac{j}{2}(s-\frac{n}{2})}\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})},$$

whereas by proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we have for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j < 0$,

$$\|\sigma\ \eta(2^{-j}\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})}.$$

By Corollary 5.12 Part (1), we have for any $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\|\sigma\ 1_{[2^j,2^{j+1}]}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{H}^s(\widehat{G})} \lesssim \|\sigma\, 1_{[2^j,2^{j+1}]}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})\|_{\dot{L}^1_{s_0}(\widehat{G})} 2^{\frac{j}{2}(-s+s_0-\frac{n}{2})} + \|\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\widehat{G})} 2^{\frac{j}{2}(\frac{n}{2}-s)}.$$

Combining all the estimates above together with the hypothesis of the statement implies that σ satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 5.9 and the conclusion follows. □

Applying Theorem 5.13 to the one-dimensional torus $G = \mathbb{T}$ with $s_0 = n = 1$, we recover the historical 1939 theorem stated in Theorem 1.1.

References

[1] Alexopoulos, G., Spectral multipliers on Lie groups of polynomial growth, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1994), No 3, pp 973–979.

[2] Coifman, R. and Weiss, G., *Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **242** (1971), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York.

[3] Coifman, R. and Weiss, G., Théorèmes sur les multiplicateurs de Fourier sur SU(2) et $\sum_2$, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **271** (1970), pp A928–A930.

[4] Coifman, R. and Weiss, G., Multiplier transformations of functions on SU(2) and $\sum_2$, Collection of articles dedicated to Alberto González Domínguez on his sixty-fifth birthday, *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina*, **25** (1970/71), pp 145–166.

[5] De Michele, L. and Mauceri, G., L^p multipliers on the Heisenberg group, *Michigan Math. J.* **26** (1979), No 3, pp 361–371.

[6] Fischer, V., Intrinsic pseudo-differential calculi on any compact Lie group, *J. Funct. Anal.* **268** (2015), **11**, pp 3404–3477.

[7] Fischer, V. and Ruzhansky, M., Fourier multipliers on graded Lie groups, *arXiv*: 1411.6950, submitted for publication.

[8] Hörmander, L., Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces, *Acta Math.* **104** (1960), pp 93–140.

[9] Knapp, A., *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, Progress in Mathematics **140** (1996), Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA.

[10] Larsen, R., *An introduction to the theory of multipliers*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band **175** (1971), Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg.

[11] Marcinkiewicz, J., Sur les multiplicateurs des séries de Fourier, *Studia Math.* **8** (1939), pp 78-91.

[12] Mauceri, G. and Meda, S., Vector-valued multipliers on stratified groups, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **6** (1990), No 3-4, pp 141–154.

[13] Mihlin, S. G., On the multipliers of Fourier integrals, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* **109** (1956), pp 701–703.

[14] Rubin, R., Multipliers on the rigid motions of the plane and their relations to multipliers on direct products, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **59** (1976), No 1, pp 89–98.

[15] Ruzhansky, M. and Turunen, V., *Pseudo-differential operators and symmetries*, Pseudo-Differential Operators. Theory and Applications **2** (2010), Background analysis and advanced topics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel.

[16] Ruzhansky, M. and Wirth, J., L^p Fourier multipliers on compact Lie groups, *Math. Z.* **280** (2015), **3-4**, pp 621–642.

[17] Seeger, A. and Sogge, C. D., On the boundedness of functions of (pseudo-) differential operators on compact manifolds, *Duke Math. J.* **59** (1989), No 3, pp 709–736.

[18] Sikora, A. and Wright, J., Imaginary powers of Laplace operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (2001), No 6, pp 1745–1754 (electronic).

[19] Stein, E., *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series **30** (1970), Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.

[20] Stein, E., *Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **63** (1970), Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo.

[21] Strichartz, R., Multiplier transformations on compact Lie groups and algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **193** (1974), pp 99–110.

[22] Weiss, N., L^p estimates for bi-invariant operators on compact Lie groups, *Amer. J. Math.* **94** (1972), pp 103–118.

[23] Weiss, N., A multiplier theorem for $SU(n)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **59** (1976), No 2, pp 366–370.

[24] Varopoulos, N. and Saloff-Coste, L. and Coulhon, T., *Analysis and Geometry on Groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **100** (1992), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

[25] Vretare, L., On L_p Fourier multipliers on a compact Lie-group, *Math. Scand.* **35** (1974), pp 49–55.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, United Kingdom

E-mail address: v.c.m.fischer@bath.ac.uk